arXiv:1112.4173v2 [math.GT] 16 Aug 2012

Products in Generalized Differential Cohomology

Markus Upmeier

August 17, 2012

Abstract

It is shown in this paper that multiplicative cohomology theories E that are rationally even – a technical condition that is often satisfied – the Hopkins-Singer construction of generalized differential cohomology $\hat{E}$ has a unital, graded commutative multiplicative structure. To this end, a more explicit integration and a differential cohomology theory for pairs are also developed.

1 Introduction

The idea of differential cohomology theory is to combine geometric and cohomological information of manifolds. The many historical examples are embraced by the following description by [BS10]: Let E be a generalized cohomology theory and let $V^* = E^*(pt) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ denote the graded coefficient vector space. A *differential extension* of E is a contravariant functor $\hat{E}^*$ from smooth manifolds to graded Abelian groups together with natural transformations $\Omega^{*-1}(-;V)/im(d) \overset{a}{\Longrightarrow} \hat{E}^*$, $\hat{E}^* \overset{R}{\Longrightarrow} \Omega^*_{cl}(-;V)$, and $\hat{E}^* \overset{I}{\Longrightarrow} E^*$ such that for any smooth manifold M the following diagram commutes and has an exact upper horizontal line:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
E^{*-1}(M) \arrow[r, "ch"] & \Omega^{*-1}(M;V)/im(d) \arrow[r, "a"] \arrow[dr, "d"'] & \hat{E}^*(M) \arrow[r, "I"] \arrow[d, "R"] & E^*(M) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "ch"] & 0 \\
 & & \Omega^*_{cl}(M;V) \arrow[r] & H^*(M;V) &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Such a differential extension is called *multiplicative* if $\hat{E}$ is a functor into graded commutative rings, if I and R are unital ring homomorphisms, and if

$$a(\Theta) \cup \hat{x} = a(\Theta \wedge R(\hat{x})) \qquad \forall \Theta \in \Omega^{n-1}(M;V),\ \hat{x} \in \hat{E}^m(M).$$

Historically, the first examples were for $E = H\mathbb{Z}$ and appeared as the sheaf-theoretic Deligne cohomology (see for instance [Gaj97]) and as Cheeger-Simons differential characters. These were defined in [CS85] to provide a natural home for secondary invariants that can take more geometry of say a Riemannian manifold into account. Much later, another model for ordinary differential cohomology was introduced in [BKS10] using stratifolds. The question whether the various constructions of ordinary differential cohomology yield isomorphic theories was answered by [SS08] and subsequently the case of generalized cohomology was dealt with in [BS10], establishing criteria when this is true.

Differential refinements of K-theory were studied by [Lot94] and [BS09] with which most notably a refinement of the families index theorem may be proven [FL10]. On the other hand, [Fre00] and [FH00] exhibit the importance of differential K-theory in mathematical physics, where it may be used to encode charge quantization phenomena.

Another broad class of examples was defined in [BSSW09] were it is shown that every Landweber exact cohomology theory may be refined to a *multiplicative* differential cohomology theory. Finally, [HS05] use abstract homotopy theory to construct differential refinements of *arbitrary* generalized cohomology theories. Unfortunately, starting with a multiplicative cohomology theory, this general construction does not have obvious multiplicative properties, which is what we will take up in the present paper.

Roughly speaking, the construction in [HS05] starts by representing E by an Ω-spectrum E_n and choosing a refinement of the generalized Chern character

$$E^n(X) = [X, E_n] \xrightarrow{ch} H^n(X; V),\ [f] \mapsto f^*[\iota_n], \qquad \text{where } [\iota_n] = ch(\mathrm{id}_{E_n}),$$

to maps and cochains $E_n^X \to C^n(X; V)$. This is not canonical, and the different possibilities are the choices of fundamental cocycles $\iota_n \in C^n(E_n; V)$ representing the fundamental cohomology classes $[\iota_n]$. At this point many difficulties arise since different choices of fundamental cocycles lead to *non-canonically* isomorphic differential extensions. To carry over a construction, like a product, to differential cohomology all the structure needs to be refined in a manner compatible with the fundamental cocycles. We will deal with this issue by cutting down the non-uniqueness in even dimensions and demanding a compatibility with the so-called integration map. That is, we will work with cohomology theories that are *rationally even*, meaning that $E^*(pt)$ is torsion in all odd degrees. This is a class of cohomology theories that contains most of the cohomology theories of interest, e.g. oriented cobordism MSO, unoriented MO cobordism, and complex cobordism MU, stable cohomotopy theory π_s^*, ordinary cohomology HA with coefficients in an Abelian group A, real, complex, and quaternionic K-theory, Brown-Peterson cohomology BP, Morava K-theory $K(n)$, John-Wilson theory, and elliptic cohomology theories (but not algebraic K-theory – thanks to U. Bunke for pointing this out to me).

The main results of this paper are the following two theorems:

Theorem. *Let E be a rationally even, multiplicative cohomology theory. Then, there exists a differential extension $\hat{E}^*$ to a multiplicative differential cohomology theory. Moreover, the product structure is compatible with the integration map.*

Here, by an *integration map* on $\hat{E}$ we mean a natural transformation $\int_{S^1}$ from $\hat{E}^{*+1}(S^1 \times -)$ to $\hat{E}^*$ which lifts the following map on generalized cohomology

$$\int_{S^1} : E^{n+1}(S^1 \times M, 1 \times M) \cong \tilde{E}^{n+1}(\Sigma M^+) \cong \tilde{E}^n(M^+) = E^n(M)$$

and is compatible with I, R, and a and satisfies, in addition, certain reasonable assumptions, compare with section 3.

Theorem. *For closed submanifolds $N \subset M$ there is a differential cohomology of pairs $\hat{E}^*(M, N)$ with the expected properties; there is a natural long exact sequence*

$$\cdots \longrightarrow \hat{E}^{n-1}_{flat}(N) \xrightarrow{\delta_1} \hat{E}^n(M,N) \xrightarrow{i^*} \hat{E}^n(M) \xrightarrow{j^*} \hat{E}^n(N) \xrightarrow{\delta_2} E^{n+1}(M,N) \longrightarrow \cdots$$

Notation

Let $A \subset X$. Singular chains $C_n(X,A)$, cochains $C^n(X,A)$, and cohomology are understood to have real coefficients. Cocycles and coboundaries will be denoted by $Z^n(X,A)$ and $B^n(X,A)$ respectively. We will make deliberate use of the Acyclic Models Theorem. Reduced cohomology and cochains will be indicated by an upper tilde. The space of closed differential forms will by denoted by $\Omega^n_{cl}(M)$. For a graded vector space V set

$$\begin{aligned} C_n(X,A;V) &= \bigoplus_{i+j=n} C_i(X,A;V^j), \\ C^n(X,A;V) &= \prod_{i+j=n} C^i(X,A;V^j) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(C_n(X,A;V),\mathbb{R}), \\ \Omega^n(M;V) &= \prod_{i+j=n} \Omega^i(M;V^j) = \bigoplus_{i+j=n} \Omega^i(M;V^j). \end{aligned}$$

If M is a manifold and $i : N \subset M$ a closed submanifold we will write $C^n_s(M,N)$ for the complex of smooth cochains, i.e. homomorphisms on smooth chains $C^s_n(M) = \bigoplus_{\sigma:\Delta^n\to M \text{ smooth}} \mathbb{R}$ which are zero on $C^s_n(N)$. The *relative deRham complex* is defined by $\Omega^*(M,N) = \{\omega \in \Omega^*(M) \mid i^*\omega = 0\}$. We then have a short exact sequence

$$0 \to \Omega^*(M,N) \to \Omega^*(M) \to \Omega^*(N) \to 0 \tag{1}$$

Fix the standard *Eilenberg-Zilber chain equivalence* $B : C_*(I) \otimes C_*(X) \to C_*(I \times X)$ coming from the usual subdivision of the prism. The *fundamental* 1*-chain* is $[I] : \Delta^1 \to I \in C_1(I)$, $(t_0,t_1) \mapsto t_1$. *Integration of cochains along the interval* is the map $\int_I$ from $C^n(I \times X)$ to $C^{n-1}(X)$ given by

$$C^n(I \times X) \ni u \longmapsto \left(C_{n-1}(X) \xrightarrow{[I]\otimes id} C_1(I) \otimes C_{n-1}(X) \xrightarrow{B} C_n(I \times X) \xrightarrow{u} \mathbb{R} \right)$$

This may be extended componentwise to cochains with coefficients in a graded vector space and extends the corresponding map for differential forms (cf. [HS05], p. 32). By pulling back along the canonical maps we obtain also integration maps for cochains on $S^1 \times X$ and on the suspension $\Sigma X = S^1 \wedge X$. The following two formulas will be imporant in the sequel:

$$\int_I \delta u + \delta \int_I u = i_1^* u - i_0^* u, \qquad u \in C^n(I \times (X,A);V), \tag{2}$$

and the *pullback formula* for continuous maps $c : (X,A) \to (Y,B)$

$$\int_I (id_I \times c)^* u = c^* \int_I u, \qquad u \in C^n(I \times (Y,B);V). \tag{3}$$

2 Generalized Differential Cohomology for Pairs

In this section we will generalize the construction of [HS05] to pairs, exhibit the mentioned long exact sequence, and review the functorial properties of differential cohomology. Next, we will establish certain "canonical maps" which are needed for the construction of products, as well as some technical results for manipulating differential cocycles.

2.1 The Fundamental Cocycle & Construction of $\hat{E}$ for Pairs

Let E be a cohomology theory represented by an Ω-spectrum (E_n, ε_n), i.e. a sequence of pointed topological spaces $pt \in E_n$ together with pointed homeomorphisms

$$\varepsilon_{n-1}^{adj} : E_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\approx} \Omega E_n.$$

We have the *generalized Chern character* $ch : \tilde{E}^* \Longrightarrow \tilde{E}^* \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R} \overset{\cong}{\Longrightarrow} \tilde{H}^*(-; V)$ which by Yoneda's Lemma is implemented by *fundamental cohomology classes* $[\iota_n]$. The $[\iota_n]$ are related by the suspension and the structure maps and may therefore be viewed as an element of $\varprojlim_n \tilde{H}^n(E_n; V)$, the limit being taken over $\tilde{H}^{*+n}(E_n; V) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_{n-1}^*} \tilde{H}^{*+n}(\Sigma E_{n-1}; V) \overset{susp}{\cong} \tilde{H}^{*+n-1}(E_{n-1}; V)$. We claim that this vector space may be identified with the 0-th cohomology of the cochain complex $\varprojlim_n C^{*+n}(E_n, pt; V)$, where the limit is now taken over

$$C^{*+n}(E_n, pt; V) \xrightarrow[\varepsilon_{n-1}^*]{} C^{*+n}(\Sigma E_{n-1}, pt; V) \xrightarrow[\int_{S^1}]{} C^{*+n-1}(E_{n-1}, pt; V).$$

Proof of claim. The suspension isomorphism can be written as multiplication with $[S^1]$ from the left. Using universal coefficients, that $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}$ takes colimits in the first variable to limits, the fact that two limits commute, and that directed colimits and homology commute we deduce:

$$\begin{aligned}
H^n\Big(\varprojlim_k C^{*+k}(E_k, pt; V)\Big) &= H^n\Big(\varprojlim_k \prod_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} C^{*-j+k}(E_k, pt; V^j)\Big) = H^n\Big(\prod_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} \varprojlim_k C^{*-j+k}(E_k, pt; V^j)\Big) \\
&= \prod_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} H^n\Big(\varprojlim_k C^{*-j+k}(E_k, pt; V^j)\Big) = \prod_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}\Big(H_n(\operatorname{colim} C_{*-j+k}(E_k, pt)), V^j\Big) \\
&= \prod_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}\Big(\operatorname{colim} H_n(C_{*-j+k}(E_k, pt)), V^j\Big) = \prod_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} \varprojlim_k \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}\Big(H_n(C_{*-j+k}(E_k, pt)), V^j\Big) \\
&= \varprojlim_k \prod_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} H^n(C^{*-j+k}(E_k, pt; V^j)) = \varprojlim_k H^n\Big(\prod_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} C^{*-j+k}(E_k, pt; V^j)\Big) \\
&= \varprojlim_k H^n(C^{*+k}(E_k, pt; V)) = \varprojlim_k \tilde{H}^{n+k}(E_k; V)
\end{aligned}$$

□

If follows that we may choose *fundamental cocycles* $\iota_n \in Z^n(E_n, pt; V)$ with the property that

$$\iota_{n-1} = \int_{S^1} \varepsilon_{n-1}^* \iota_n. \tag{4}$$

Definition 2.1. The *n-th differential E-cohomology* $\hat{E}^n(M, N)$ or, when emphasizing the dependence on the fundamental cocycle, $\hat{H}^n\left((M, N); (E_n, \iota_n, V)\right)$ is the set of equivalence classes of triples

$$(M, N) \xrightarrow{c} (E_n, pt), \quad \omega \in \Omega_{cl}^n(M, N; V), \quad h \in C_s^{n-1}(M, N; V) \quad \text{such that}$$
$$\delta h = \omega - c^*\iota_n \in C_s^n(M, N; V)$$

modulo the equivalence relation that $(c_0, \omega_0, h_0) \sim (c_1, \omega_1, h_1)$ iff $\omega_0 = \omega_1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} &\exists I \times (M,N) \xrightarrow{C} (E_n, pt) : \; c_0 \simeq c_1 \\ &\exists H \in C_s^{n-1}(I \times (M,N); V) \text{ with } i_0^* H = h_0,\, i_1^* H = h_1 \\ \text{such that } &\delta H = pr^*\omega - C^*\iota_n \in C_s^n(I \times (M,N); V). \end{aligned}$$

Note that this definition makes sense more generally for any graded real vector space V, pointed topological space E, and reduced singular cocycle $\iota \in Z^n(E, pt; V)$. The above relation is obviously reflexive and symmetric. Transitivity requires more work: Suppose $(c_0, \omega, h_0) \sim (c_1, \omega, h_1) \sim (c_2, \omega, h_2)$ via (H_0, C_0) and (H_1, C_0). By pulling back along a smooth map $I \to I$ that increases from 0 to 1 and is constant on $[0, 1/4]$ and $[3/4, 1]$ we may assume that $H_0|_{[0,1/4]} = pr^* h_0, C|_{[0,1/4]} = c_0 \circ pr$ and similar on $[3/4, 1]$ and for (H_1, C_1). Then, glue H_0, H_1 together:

Lemma 2.2. *Let $A \cup B = X$ be an open covering and $\tilde{A} \subset A, \tilde{B} \subset B$ be subsets with $\tilde{A} \cap B = \tilde{B} \cap A$. Then, restriction $C^n(A \cup B, \tilde{A} \cup \tilde{B}) \longrightarrow C^n(A, \tilde{A}) \times C^n(B, \tilde{B})$ is surjective.*

Proof. Let $u \in C^n(A, \tilde{A}), v \in C^n(B, \tilde{B})$ and consider the projection $\pi : \Delta^{n+1} \to \Delta^n$, $\pi(t_0, \ldots, t_{n+1}) = \left(t_0 + \frac{t_{n+1}}{n+1}, \ldots, t_n + \frac{t_{n+1}}{n+1}\right)$. Define a subdivision operator by

$$S : C_n(X) \to C_n(X), \quad (\Delta^n \xrightarrow{\sigma} X) \mapsto (-1)^n \partial(\sigma \circ \pi) + \sigma$$

$\pi \circ d^i : \Delta^n \to \Delta^n$ parameterizes a subset of Δ^n of area $\frac{area(\Delta^n)}{n+1}$. Therefore, after a finite *minimal* number $m = m(\sigma)$ of applications of S any simplex $\sigma \in C_n(X)$ will be a chain $\sum\limits_k n_k \cdot \tau_k$ consisting only of simplices τ_k whose image lies entirely in A or entirely in B. We define $w(\sigma)$ as $\sum_k n_k \begin{cases} u(\tau_k) \text{ if } \tau_k(\Delta^n) \subset A, \\ v(\tau_k) \text{ if } \tau_k(\Delta^n) \subset B. \end{cases}$ By minimality, w restricts to u and v. For the last statement we remark that our subdivision operator takes the subcomplex of smooth chains to itself. ☐

Now, apply the above lemma to $[0,2] \times (M,N)$ with open cover $[0,1[\times (M,N)$, $]\frac{3}{4}, \frac{5}{4}[\times (M,N)$, $]1,2] \times (M,N)$ and the cochains $H_0, pr^* h_1, H_1$ translated properly to obtain H. The cochain H clearly restricts to h_0 and h_2 at the endpoints. Let $C = C_0 * C_1$ be the composition of homotopies. To show $(c_0, \omega, h_0) \sim (c_1, \omega, h_1)$ it remains to verify $\delta H = pr^*\omega - C^*\iota_n$ for which it suffices to consider a smooth simplex σ with image entirely contained in one of the three open subsets – since S leaves cocycles invariant. For example if $\sigma(\Delta^n) \subset [0,1[\times M$ then $\sigma = i_*\sigma$ for the inclusion $i : [0,1[\times M \subset [0,2] \times M$. We have

$$(\delta H)(\sigma) = (\delta i^* H)(\sigma) = (\delta H_0)(\sigma) = pr^*\omega(\sigma) - (C_0^*)\iota_n(\sigma)$$

which equals $(pr^*\omega - C^*\iota_n)(\sigma)$. This concludes the proof of transitivity.

Lemma 2.3. *For any $v \in C^{n-1}(X, A)$ there exists a cocycle $E \in Z^n(I \times (X, A))$ such that $i_0^* E = 0$, $i_1^* E = \delta v$. In particular, two differential cocycles $[c, \omega, h]$ and $[c, \omega, h']$ are equal if h and h' differ by a coboundary.*

Proof. Suppose $A = \emptyset$. The proof is based on the Alexander-Whitney map (cf. [tD08], p.240)

$$A : C_n(X \times Y) \to \bigoplus_{p+q=n} C_i(X) \otimes C_j(Y),\ A\sigma = \sum_{p+q=n} {}_p(pr_1 \circ \sigma) \otimes (pr_2 \circ \sigma)_q$$

which is a natural chain equivalence, where ${}_p\sigma(t_0, \dots, t_p) = \sigma(t_0, \dots, t_p, 0 \dots, 0)$ denotes the front p-face, and similarly σ_q is the back q-face, with the zeros up front. Define E as

$$E : C_n(I \times X) \xrightarrow{pr \circ A} C_0(I) \otimes C_n(X) \xrightarrow{id \otimes \partial} C_0(I) \otimes C_{n-1}(X) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \otimes v} \mathbb{R}$$

where $\varepsilon \left(\sum_{x \in I} r_x[\Delta^0 \to \{x\} \subset I] \right) := \sum_{x \in I} r_x \cdot x$. Using the explicit formula for A it is easy to check $i_0^*E = 0, i_1^*E = \delta v$. In the relative case, by naturality of A, E is zero on $C_n(I \times A)$. There is an analogous result for smooth cochains since A takes the complex of smooth cochains to itself . A version with coefficients in a graded vector space is also easily deduced from our result. ☐

Define

$$\begin{aligned} I : \hat{E}^n(M,N) \to E^n(M,N), &\quad [c, \omega, h] \mapsto [c] \\ R : \hat{E}^n(M,N) \to \Omega^n_{cl}(M,N;V), &\quad [c, \omega, h] \mapsto \omega \\ a : \Omega^{n-1}(M,N;V) \to \hat{E}^n(M,N), &\quad \Theta \mapsto [const_{pt \in E_n}, d\Theta, \Theta] \end{aligned}$$

The map a is well-defined since $\delta\Theta = d\Theta$ and $const^*\iota_n = 0$. Also, by Lemma 2.3, a is zero on $im(d)$. It is clear also that $ch \circ I = can \circ R$ and $R \circ a = d$.

Theorem 2.4. *The following sequence is exact:*

$$E^{n-1}(M,N) \xrightarrow{ch} \Omega^{n-1}(M,N;V)/im(d) \xrightarrow{a} \hat{E}^n(M,N) \xrightarrow{I} E^n(M,N) \longrightarrow 0$$

Proof. We begin with two simple observations from the relative de Rham isomorphism:

(i) Every smooth cocycle is cohomologous to a closed form.

(ii) If a closed form bounds a singular cochain it also bounds a form.

The map I is surjective by (i) applied to the cocycle $c^*\iota_n$. It is also clear that $I \circ a = 0$.

Suppose that $I[c, \omega, h] = 0$, i.e. that we have a homotopy $C : c \simeq const$ rel N. Since also $C \simeq const$ rel N we may pick $e \in C^{n-1}(I \times (M,N);V)$ with $\delta e = C^*\iota_n$. Consider

$$u = h + i_0^*e - i_1^*e \in C_s^{n-1}(M,N;V)$$

for the inclusions $i_0, i_1 : (M,N) \to I \times (M,N)$. Then $\delta u = \omega$ is a differential form so that by (ii) we may write $\delta u = d\kappa$ for a differential form $\kappa \in \Omega^{n-1}(M,N;V)$. By (i) we may then write $u - \kappa = \eta + \delta v$ for $\eta \in \Omega^{n-1}_{cl}(M,N;V), v \in C_s^{n-2}(M,N;V)$. By Lemma 2.3 choose a cocycle $E \in Z_s^{n-1}(I \times (M,N);V)$ with $i_0^*E = 0,\ i_1^*E = \delta v$. Setting $H := pr^*h + (pr^*i_0^* - id)e - E \in C_s^{n-1}(I \times (M,N);V)$ and $\Theta = \kappa + \eta$, the pair (C,H) witnesses $(c, \omega, h) \sim (const, d\Theta, \Theta) = a(\Theta)$.

Suppose next that $(const, 0, 0) \sim a(\Theta) = (const, d\Theta, \Theta)$ i.e. that we have $d\Theta = 0$ and are given $H \in C_s^{n-1}(I \times (M, N); V)$, $C : const \simeq const : (M, N) \to (E_n, pt)$ with

$$i_0^* H = \Theta,\ i_1^* H = 0, \qquad \delta H = -C^* \iota_n.$$

We have to show that Θ is cohomologous to an element of type $c^* \iota_{n-1}$ for a map $c : (M, N) \to (E_{n-1}, pt)$. Take $c = (\varepsilon_{n-1}^{adj})^{-1} \circ C^{adj}$, i.e. $C = \varepsilon_{n-1} \circ (\Sigma c)$. Then

$$c^* \iota_{n-1} = c^* \int_I \varepsilon_{n-1}^* \iota_n = \int_I C^* \iota_n = -\int_I \delta H = \delta \int_I H + i_0^* H - i_1^* H \equiv \Theta.$$

It follows that $\Theta \equiv ch[c]$. From the following lemma we conclude finally that $a \circ ch = 0$, applying it to the homotopy $C : const \simeq const$, corresponding as above to $c : (M, N) \to (E_{n-1}, pt)$. $\square$

Proposition 2.5. *Given a homotopy $C : c_0 \simeq c_1$ (rel N) we obtain for all ω, h_0 equivalences*

$$(c_0, \omega, h_0) \sim (c_1, \omega, h_0 - \int_I C^* \iota_n) \qquad \text{in } \hat{E}^n(M, N)$$

Proof. Set $h_1 = h_0 - \int_I C^* \iota_n$. We first remark that (c_1, ω, h_1) satisfies $\delta\left(h_0 - \int_I C^* \iota_n\right) = (\omega - c_0^* \iota_n) + i_0^* C^* \iota_n - i_1^* C^* \iota_n = \omega - c_1^* \iota_n$. We seek a suitable $H \in C_s^{n-1}(I \times (M, N))$ with $i_0^* H = h_0$, $i_1^* H = h_1$ and $\delta H = pr^* \omega - C^* \iota_n = \delta pr^* h_1 + ((c_1 \circ pr)^* - C^*)\, \iota_n$. The homotopy $K : C \simeq (c_1 \circ pr)$

$$K : I \times I \times (M, N) \to (E_n, pt),\ (s, t, x) \mapsto \begin{cases} C(t, x) & (s \le t), \\ C(s, x) & (s \ge t). \end{cases}$$

is relative to $I \times N$ and yields a chain homotopy

$$\delta \int_I K^* \iota_n = (i_1)^* K^* \iota_n - (i_0)^* K^* \iota_n = (c_1 \circ pr)^* \iota_n - C^* \iota_n$$

We may thus take $H = pr^* h_1 + \int_I K^* \iota_n$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (i_0)^* H &= h_1 + \int_I (id_I \times i_0)^* K^* \iota_n = h_1 + \int_I C^* \iota_n = h_0 \\ (i_1)^* H &= h_1 + \int_I (id_I \times i_1)^* K^* \iota_n = h_1 + \int_I (c_1 \circ pr)^* \iota_n \end{aligned}$$

The assertion now follows from Lemma 2.3 since $\int_I (c_1 \circ pr)^* \iota_n = \int_I pr^* (\omega - \delta h_1) = \int_I pr^* \omega - \int_I pr^* \delta h_1 = -\int_I pr^* \delta h_1 = \delta \int_I pr^* h_1$ is a coboundary, using the fact that $\int_I pr^* \omega = 0$ for differential forms. $\square$

2.2 The Exact Sequence of a Pair

Definition 2.6. The associated *flat* theory is defined as

$$\hat{E}^*_{flat}(M, N) := \ker\left(\hat{E}^*(M, N) \xrightarrow{R} \Omega^*_{cl}(M, N)\right)$$

It has been shown in [BS10] and [HS05] that $\hat{E}^*_{flat}$ is naturally isomorphic to $E\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}^{*-1}$. In particular, it is a generalized cohomology theory and we have a long exact sequence of pairs.

Theorem 2.7. *For any closed submanifold $N \subset M$ we have a natural exact sequence*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
{} \arrow[r, dash] & \hat{E}^{n-1}_{flat}(M,N) \arrow[r, "i^*"] & \hat{E}^{n-1}_{flat}(M) \arrow[r, "j^*"] & \hat{E}^{n-1}_{flat}(N) \arrow[dll, "\delta_1"] \\
& \hat{E}^{n}(M,N) \arrow[r, "i^*"] & \hat{E}^{n}(M) \arrow[r, "j^*"] & \hat{E}^{n}(N) \arrow[dll, "\delta_2"] \\
& E^{n+1}(M,N) \arrow[r, "i^*"] & E^{n+1}(M) \arrow[r, "j^*"] & E^{n+1}(N) \arrow[r, dash] & {}
\end{tikzcd}$$

Here, the coboundary maps are defined as compositions $\delta_1 : \hat{E}^{n-1}_{flat}(N) \xrightarrow{\delta} \hat{E}^{n}_{flat}(M,N) \xrightarrow{\subset} \hat{E}^{n}(M,N)$ *and* $\delta_2 : \hat{E}^{n}(N) \xrightarrow{I} E^{n}(N) \xrightarrow{\delta} E^{n+1}(M,N)$.

Proof. The exactness at $\hat{E}^n(M,N)$, $\hat{E}^{n-1}_{flat}(N)$, and at $E^{n+1}(M,N)$ is easy to check from the exact sequences of pairs of $\hat{E}^*_{flat}$ and E^*. It is also straightforward to see that the composition of two successive maps in the sequence is zero.

Exactness at $\hat{E}^n(M)$: If $(c,\omega,h)|_N \sim (const,0,0)$ we have $j^*\omega = 0$ and there exist $C : I \times N \to E_n : c \simeq const$ and $H \in C^{n-1}_s(I \times N; V)$ with $i_0^* H = h|_N, i_1^* H = 0$ and $\delta H = -C^*\iota_n$. As above, we may assume that $C|_{[0,1/4]\times N} = c|_N \circ pr$ and $H|_{[0,1/4]\times N} = pr^* h|_N$. By Lemma 2.2 applied to $0 \times M \cup [0, \frac{1}{4}[\times N,\ ]0,1] \times N$ and pr^*h for $pr : 0 \times M \cup [0,\frac{1}{4}[\times N \to M$ and H we may find $\tilde{H} \in C^{n-1}_s(0 \times M \cup I \times N)$ with $\tilde{H}|_{0\times M} = h$, $\tilde{H}|_{I\times N} = H$. Because $0 \times N \subset 0 \times M \cup I \times N$ is closed we may define $\tilde{C}$ by gluing C and c. As above, using Remark **??**, it may be verified that $\delta\tilde{H} = pr^*\omega - \tilde{C}^*\iota_n$. Since $N \subset M$ is a cofibration we may find a smooth retraction[1]

$$r : I \times M \to (0 \times M) \cup (I \times N)$$

Pulling back along r we obtain $\delta r^*\tilde{H} = pr^*\omega - (\tilde{C} \circ r)^*\iota_n$ and thus an equivalence in $\hat{E}^n(M)$ from (c,ω,h) to $((\tilde{C}\circ r)|_{1\times M}, \omega, r^*\tilde{H}|_{1\times M})$ which may be viewed as an element of $\hat{E}^n(M,N)$.

Exactness at $\hat{E}^n(N)$: Suppose $0 = \delta_2(\hat{x}) = \delta(I(\hat{x}))$. Then $I(\hat{x})$ has a preimage $y \in E^n(M)$ under $j^* : E^n(M) \to E^n(N)$. By the surjectivity of I we may write $y = I(\hat{y})$ and then $j^*\hat{y} - \hat{x} \in \ker(I) = \operatorname{im}(a)$ so that we may write $a(\Theta) = j^*\hat{y} - \hat{x}$ by Theorem 2.4. $\Theta \in \Omega^{n-1}(N;V)$ may be extended by (1) to a differential form $\overline{\Theta} \in \Omega^{n-1}(M;V)$. Then

$$j^*(\hat{y} - a(\overline{\Theta})) = j^*\hat{y} - a(\Theta) = \hat{x}$$

□

---

[1] Start with a continuous retraction and deform to a smooth map relative to the closed subset $0 \times M \cup I \times N$.

2.3 Functorial Properties

A smooth map $f : (M_0, N_0) \to (M, N)$ induces a *pullback*

$$\begin{aligned} f^* = \hat{H}^n(f; (E, \iota, V)) : \hat{H}^n((M, N); (E, \iota, V)) &\longrightarrow \hat{H}^n((M_0, N_0); (E, \iota, V)), \\ [c, \omega, h] &\longmapsto [c \circ f, f^*\omega, f^*h] \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

and a homomorphism of graded vector spaces $\mu : V \to W$ induces a *map of the coefficients*

$$\begin{aligned} \mu = \hat{H}^n((M, N); (E, \mu)) : \hat{H}^n((M, N); (E, \iota, V)) &\longrightarrow \hat{H}^n((M, N); (E, \mu(\iota), W)), \\ [c, \omega, h] &\longmapsto [c, \mu(\omega), \mu(h)] \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

For a pointed map $\phi : E \to F$ and a cocycle $\iota^F \in Z^n(F, pt; V)$ we have a *transfer* map

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_* = \hat{H}^n((M, N); (\phi, V)) : \hat{H}^n((M, N); (E, \phi^*\iota^F, V)) &\longrightarrow \hat{H}^n((M, N); (F, \iota^F, V)), \\ [c, \omega, h] &\longmapsto [\phi \circ c, \omega, h] \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Also, for every reduced cochain $\Theta \in C^{n-1}(E, pt; V)$ with $\delta\Theta = \iota - \iota'$ we have an isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H}^n(\Theta) : \hat{H}^n((M, N); (E, \iota, V)) &\xrightarrow{\cong} \hat{H}^n((M, N); (E, \iota', V)), \\ [c, \omega, h] &\longmapsto [c, \omega, h + c^*\Theta] \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

By Lemma 2.3 this isomorphism depends only on the coset $\Theta + B^{n-1}(E, pt; V)$. Notice that if $N = \emptyset$, then it is not necessary that Θ be a *reduced* cocycle. It is easily checked that these maps are in fact well-defined.

Combining (7) and (8), we define for a pointed map $\phi : E \to F$ together with a reduced cochain $\Theta \in C^{n-1}(E, pt; V)$ with $\delta\Theta = \iota^E - \phi^*\iota^F$ the map $(\phi; \Theta)$ as the composition $\phi_* \circ \hat{H}^n(\Theta)$. Explicitly, $(\phi; \Theta)[c, \omega, h] = [\phi \circ c, \omega, h + c^*\Theta]$. Notice the *composition rule*

$$(\phi; \Theta) \circ (\psi; \kappa) = (\phi \circ \psi; \kappa + \psi^*\Theta) \tag{9}$$

Moreover, if $\Phi : \phi_0 \simeq \phi_1$ is a homotopy we have by Proposition 2.5

$$(\phi_0; \Theta) = \left(\phi_1; \Theta - \int_I \Phi^*\iota^F\right) \tag{10}$$

2.4 The Canonical Maps λ, χ

First, note that we have a natural map λ given by

$$\begin{gathered} \hat{H}^n((M, N); (E, \iota^E, V)) \times \hat{H}^n((M, N); (F, \iota^F, W)) \to \hat{H}^n((M, N); (E \times F; pr_1^*\iota^E \oplus pr_2^*\iota^F, V \oplus W)) \\ ([c_0, \omega_0, h_0], [c_1, \omega_1, h_1]) \mapsto [(c_0, c_1), \omega_0 \oplus \omega_1, h_0 \oplus h_1] \end{gathered}$$

λ clearly is associative: $\lambda \circ (\lambda \times id) = \lambda \circ (id \times \lambda)$. Also

$$\lambda \circ ((\phi; \Theta) \times (\psi; \kappa)) = (\phi \times \psi; pr_1^*\Theta + pr_2^*\kappa) \circ \lambda \tag{11}$$

Next, using the Acyclic Models Theorem, pick a natural chain homotopy $\delta B(\omega_0 \otimes \omega_1) + Bd(\omega_0 \otimes \omega_1) = \omega_0 \wedge \omega_1 - \omega_0 \cup \omega_1$, unique up to natural chain homotopy. Define a natural map

$$\begin{aligned} \chi : \hat{H}^n(M; (E, \iota^E, V)) \times \hat{H}^m(M; (F, \iota^F, W)) &\to \hat{H}^{n+m}(M; (E \times F, \iota^E \times \iota^F, V \otimes W)) \\ ([c_0, \omega_0, h_0], [c_1, \omega_1, h_1]) &\mapsto [(c_0, c_1), \omega_0 \wedge \omega_1, h] \end{aligned}$$

where $h = B(\omega_0 \otimes \omega_1) + h_0 \cup \omega_1 + (-1)^{|\omega_0|}\omega_0 \cup h_1 - h_0 \cup \delta h_1$. Notice that by Lemma 2.3 we could have replaced $h_0 \cup \delta h_1$ with $(-1)^{|\omega_0|}\delta h_0 \cup h_1$, or half of both, since these differ only by a coboundary. Since any two choices of B differ by a coboundary, χ is independent of it, by Lemma 2.3. Moreover, we have associativity

$$\chi \circ (\chi \times id) = \chi \circ (id \times \chi) \tag{12}$$

For the proof of (12), suppose $\hat{x} = [c_0, \omega_0, h_0] \in \hat{H}^l(M; (E, \iota^E, U))$, $\hat{y} = [c_1, \omega_1, h_1] \in \hat{H}^m(M; (F, \iota^F, V))$, and $\hat{z} = [c_2, \omega_2, h_2] \in \hat{H}^n(M; (G, \iota^G, W))$. Then $\chi(\hat{x}, \chi(\hat{y}, \hat{z}))$ equals

$$\begin{aligned}
&[(c_0, c_1, c_2), \omega_0 \wedge (\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2), B(\omega_0 \otimes (\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2)) + (-1)^{|\omega_0|}\omega_0 \cup B(\omega_1 \otimes \omega_2) \\
&\quad + h_0 \cup \omega_1 \cup \omega_2 + (-1)^{|\omega_0|}\omega_0 \cup h_1 \cup \omega_2 + (-1)^{|\omega_0|+|\omega_1|}\omega_0 \cup \omega_1 \cup h_2 \\
&\quad - h_0 \cup \delta h_1 \cup \omega_2 - h_0 \cup \omega_1 \cup \delta h_2 - (-1)^{|\omega_0|}\omega_0 \cup h_1 \cup \delta h_2 + h_0 \cup \delta h_1 \cup \delta h_2]
\end{aligned}$$

while $\chi(\chi(\hat{x}, \hat{y}), \hat{z})$ equals, using due to the remark above $(-1)^{|\omega_0|}\delta h_0 \cup h$ instead of $h_0 \cup \delta h$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&[(c_0, c_1, c_2), (\omega_0 \wedge \omega_1) \wedge \omega_2, B((\omega_0 \wedge \omega_1) \otimes \omega_2) + B(\omega_0 \otimes \omega_1) \cup \omega_2 \\
&\quad + h_0 \cup \omega_1 \cup \omega_2 + (-1)^{|\omega_0|}\omega_0 \cup h_1 \cup \omega_2 + (-1)^{|\omega_0|+|\omega_1|}\omega_0 \cup \omega_1 \cup h_2 \\
&\quad - h_0 \cup \delta h_1 \cup \omega_2 - (-1)^{|\omega_0|+|\omega_1|}\delta h_0 \cup \omega_1 \cup h_2 - (-1)^{|\omega_0|+|\omega_1|}\omega_0 \cup \delta h_1 \cup h_2 + (-1)^{|\omega_0|+|\omega_1|}\delta h_0 \cup \delta h_1 \cup h_2]
\end{aligned}$$

The two chain homotopies $B((\omega_0 \wedge \omega_1) \otimes \omega_2) + B(\omega_0 \otimes \omega_1) \cup \omega_2$ and $B(\omega_0 \otimes (\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2)) + (-1)^{|\omega_0|}\omega_0 \cup B(\omega_1 \otimes \omega_2)$ are chain homotopic by Acyclic Models. On closed forms $\omega_0, \omega_1, \omega_2$ they therefore differ only by a coboundary δE. Lemma 2.3 completes the proof since the third components of the above two elements differ therefore only by the coboundary of

$$(-1)^{|\omega_0|+|\omega_1|}h_0 \cup \omega_1 \cup h_2 + h_0 \cup \delta h_1 \cup h_2 + (-1)^{|\omega_1|}\omega_0 \cup h_1 \cup h_2 + E$$

Note also that if $\phi : E \to \tilde{E}$, $\psi : F \to \tilde{F}$ and $\delta\Theta = \iota^E - \phi^*\iota^{\tilde{E}}$, $\delta\kappa = \iota^F - \psi^*\iota^{\tilde{F}}$ we have

$$\chi \circ ((\phi; \Theta) \times (\psi; \kappa)) = (\phi \times \psi; \Theta \times \delta\kappa + \Theta \times \iota^F + \iota^E \times \kappa) \circ \chi \tag{13}$$

2.5 Abelian Group Structure

Pick maps $\alpha_n : E_n \times E_n \to E_n$ representing addition and cochains $A_n \in C^{n-1}(E_n \times E_n; V)$ with $\delta A_n = pr_1^*\iota_n + pr_2^*\iota_n - \alpha_n^*\iota_n$ (possible since the cohomology Chern character is additive). We would like to define addition as the following composition

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\hat{H}^n((M,N); (E_n, \iota_n, V)) \times \hat{H}^n((M,N); (E_n, \iota_n, V)) \arrow[d, "\alpha \circ \lambda"] \\
\hat{H}^n((M,N); (E_n \times E_n, pr_1^*\iota_n + pr_2^*\iota_n, V)) \arrow[d, "\hat{H}^n(A_n)"] \\
\hat{H}^n((M,N); (E_n \times E_n, \alpha_n^*\iota_n, V)) \arrow[d, "(\alpha_n)_*"] \\
\hat{H}^n((M,N); (E_n, \iota_n, V))
\end{tikzcd}$$

using the maps from the previous section. Explicitly,

$$[c_0,\omega_0,h_0]+[c_1,\omega_1,h_1]=[\alpha_n(c_0,c_1),\omega_0+\omega_1,h_0+h_1+(c_0,c_1)^*A_n]$$

The natural transformations a, R, and I are then group homomorphisms. However, in general, this operation won't be associative. From the E_∞-structure of the infinite loop space E_n it is possible however to make *canonical* choices for the α_n and A_n that will satisfy additional *coherence properties* like that

$$pr_{12}^*A_n+(\alpha_n\times id)^*A_n-\int_I H_n^*\iota_n-pr_{23}^*A_n-(id\times\alpha_n)^*A_n \tag{14}$$

is a coboundary for a certain canonical homotopy $H_n:\alpha_n(\alpha_n\times id)\simeq\alpha_n(id\times\alpha_n)$ which means associativity, by Proposition 2.5. In Remark 2.10 we show how to make these canonical choices. Since the verification of the coherence properties are lengthy, since the general case has already been dealt with in [HS05], and since this paper is concerned mainly with the rationally even case anyway, we allow ourselves the following simplification:

Assumption 2.8. *From here on, E is rationally even, i.e. $\pi_i(E)\otimes\mathbb{Q}=0$, for all odd i.*

Observe that then for any graded vector space V which is zero in odd degrees we have $H^*(E_n;V)=0$, $H^*(E_n\times E_m;V)$ for n,m even and $*$ odd, and similarly for higher products (use for instance Lemma 3.8 in [BS10]). For n even, let $\alpha_n:E_n\times E_n\to E_n$ be *any* map representing addition

$$[f]+[g]=[\alpha_n\circ(f,g)],\qquad f,g:X\to E_n$$

Let $\varphi_n:\Sigma(E_n\times E_n)\to(\Sigma E_n)\times(\Sigma E_n)\xrightarrow{\varepsilon_n\times\varepsilon_n}E_{n+1}\times E_{n+1}$ be the structure map of the spectrum $E_n\times E_n$, basepointed by the pair of basepoints. To not burden the notation any further, canonical maps like $(\Sigma X)\times Y\longrightarrow\Sigma(X\times Y),(\Omega X)\times Y\longrightarrow\Omega(X\times Y),\Omega(X\times Y)\xrightarrow{\approx}\Omega(X)\times\Omega(Y)$ will be left out in the following formulas. Since we have an Ω-spectrum, we may define α_{n-1} by requiring

$$\varepsilon_{n-1}\circ(\Sigma\alpha_{n-1})=\alpha_n\circ\varphi_{n-1} \tag{15}$$

Since suspension is linear, α_{n-1} again represents addition. For all even n, write

$$\delta A_n=(pr_1^*\iota_n+pr_2^*\iota_n)-\alpha_n^*\iota_n \tag{16}$$

for *some* reduced cochain $A_n\in\tilde{C}^{n-1}(E_n\times E_n;V)$. By Assumption 2.8, any two choices differ by a coboundary. We *extend* the A_n to odd indices by setting

$$A_{n-1}:=-\int_{S^1}\varphi_{n-1}^*A_n\in\tilde{C}^{n-2}(E_{n-1}\times E_{n-1};V)\qquad(n\text{ even}) \tag{17}$$

By (2) and (4), equation (16) holds then also for $n-1$.

Theorem 2.9. *The binary operation α endows $\hat{H}^n((M,N);(E_n,\iota_n,V))$ with the structure of an Abelian group with zero element $[const,0,0]$.*

Proof. We only consider negation. For n even, write $\nu_n : E_n \to E_n$ for a representative map of the negation in E^n. Write also $\delta N_n = -\iota_n - \nu_n^* \iota_n$ for $N_n \in \tilde{C}^{n-1}(E_n; V)$ which is possible since ch preserves negation. Extend to odd indices as above by requiring

$$\varepsilon_{n-1} \circ (\Sigma \nu_{n-1}) = \nu_n \circ \varepsilon_{n-1} \tag{18}$$

and by setting $N_{n-1} = -\int_{S^1} \varepsilon_{n-1}^* N_n \in \tilde{C}^{n-2}(E_n; V)$. Then again $\delta N_{n-1} = -\iota_{n-1} - \nu_{n-1}^* \iota_{n-1}$ and we may define the *negation* ν in differential cohomology as $\nu([c, \omega, h]) = [\nu_n \circ c, -\omega, -h + c^* N_n]$. Let us show that $\alpha(\hat{x}, \nu(\hat{x})) = 0$ for any $\hat{x} = [c, \omega, h]$: For n even let $H_n : \alpha_n \circ (id, \nu_n) \simeq const$ be a homotopy and extend by requiring

$$\varepsilon_{n-1} \circ (\Sigma H_{n-1}) = H_n \circ (id_I \times \varepsilon_{n-1}) \tag{19}$$

Now, we compute

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha(\hat{x}, \nu(\hat{x})) &= \alpha([c, \omega, h], [\nu_n \circ c, -\omega, -h + c^* N_n]) = [\alpha_n \circ (c, \nu_n \circ c), 0, c^* N_n + (c, \nu_n \circ c)^* A_n] \\ &= \left[const, 0, c^* N_n + (c, \nu_n \circ c)^* A_n - \int_I (H_n \circ (c \times id_I))^* \iota_n \right]\end{aligned}$$

To show that $\alpha(\hat{x}, \nu(\hat{x})) = 0 = [const, 0, 0]$ it remains by Lemma 2.3 to hat that $c^* N_n + (c, \nu_n \circ c)^* A_n - c^* \int_I H_n^* \iota_n \in C^{n-1}((M, N); V)$ is a coboundary. For this it suffices to show that $N_n + (id, \nu_n)^* A_n - \int_I H_n^* \iota_n \in \tilde{C}^{n-1}(E_n; V)$ is a coboundary. For n even it is, by Assumption 2.8, enough to show that this element is a cocycle:

$$\begin{aligned}&\delta \left(N_n + (id, \nu_n)^* A_n - \int_I H_n^* \iota_n \right) = -\nu_n^* \iota_n - \iota_n + (id, \nu_n)^* \delta A_n - \delta \int_I H_n^* \iota_n \\ &= -\nu_n^* \iota_n - \iota_n + (id, \nu_n)^* (pr_1^* \iota_n + pr_2^* \iota_n - \alpha_n^* \iota_n) + (i_0)^* H_n^* \iota_n - (i_1)^* H_n^* \iota_n \\ &= -\nu_n^* \iota_n - \iota_n - (id, \nu_n)^* (\alpha_n^* \iota_n) + \iota_n + \nu_n^* \iota_n + (\alpha_n \circ (id, \nu_n))^* \iota_n - const^* \iota_n = 0\end{aligned}$$

Applying $-\int_{S^1} \varepsilon_{n-1}^* : \tilde{C}^{n-1}(E_{n-1}; V) \to \tilde{C}^{n-2}(E_{n-2}; V)$ it then follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\text{coboundary} &= \int_{S^1} \varepsilon_{n-1}^* \left(-N_n - (id, \nu_n)^* A_n + \int_I H_n^* \iota_n \right) \\ &= N_{n-1} - \int_{S^1} (\Sigma(id, \nu_{n-1}))^* \, \varphi_{n-1}^* A_n + \int_{S^1} \int_I (id_I \times \varepsilon_{n-1})^* H_n^* \iota_n \\ &= N_{n-1} + (id, \nu_{n-1})^* A_{n-1} - \int_I \int_{S^1} (\Sigma H_{n-1})^* \varepsilon_{n-1}^* \iota_n \\ &= N_{n-1} + (id, \nu_{n-1})^* A_{n-1} - \int_I H_{n-1}^* \iota_{n-1}\end{aligned}$$

Changing the order of the integrals has gave a minus sign. The proof that $[const, 0, 0]$ is a zero element, of associativity and commutativity uses the same technique, and will be omited. □

Remark 2.10. Let E be an Ω-spectrum. We can make *canonical* choices, e.g.

$$\alpha_n : E_n \times E_n \approx \Omega E_{n+1} \times \Omega E_{n+1} \xrightarrow{\kappa} \Omega E_{n+1} \approx E_n$$

where the map κ is concatenation, which is compatible with the integration of cochains up to explicit coboundary terms. For $e = \pi : \Delta^2 \to \Delta^1, (t_0, t_1, t_2) \mapsto (t_0 + t_1/2, t_2 + t_1/2)$ we have, denoting by $[a,b]$ the 1-simplex $\Delta^1 \to [a,b], (x,y) \mapsto ya+xb$, the relation $\partial e = [0,\frac{1}{2}]-[0,1]+[\frac{1}{2},1]$ so that

$$\int_{[0,1]} u = \int_{[0,\frac{1}{2}]} u + \int_{[\frac{1}{2},1]} u - \int_{\partial e} u = \int_{[0,\frac{1}{2}]} u + \int_{[\frac{1}{2},1]} u + \left((-1)^{|e|}\delta \int_e u - \int_e \delta u\right)$$

This may be used to show that $\alpha_n^*\iota_n$ and $pr_1^*\iota_n + pr_2^*\iota_n$ differ by the coboundary of the *canonical* cochain

$$A_n = (\varepsilon_n^{adj} \times \varepsilon_n^{adj})^* \int_e (\kappa^{adj})^* \iota_{n+1}$$

This observation can be used to exhibit an Abelian group structure in the general case. One has then to construct higher simplices that exhibit the coherence conditions like (14).

3 Integration

In this section we give a more explicit description, on which we will draw later, of the integration map than in [HS05]. For this we will first define the integration map on pairs. Consider

$$\int_{S^1} : \Omega^{n+1}(S^1 \times M, 1 \times M) \longrightarrow \Omega^n(M), \qquad \int_{S^1} : C^{n+1}(S^1 \times M, 1 \times M) \longrightarrow C^n(M),$$

$$\int_{S^1} : E^{n+1}(S^1 \times M, 1 \times M) \cong \tilde{E}^{n+1}(\Sigma M^+) \cong \tilde{E}^n(M^+) = E^n(M)$$

$$\int_{S^1} : (E_{n+1}, pt)^{(S^1 \times M, 1 \times M)} \longrightarrow E_n^M, \; c \mapsto \left(\varepsilon_n^{adj}\right)^{-1} \circ c^{adj}$$

so that by definition we have $\varepsilon_n \circ \Sigma\left(\int_{S^1} c\right) = c$ for $c \in (E_{n+1}, pt)^{(S^1 \times M, 1 \times M)}$. Together, they yield a well-defined integration map:

$$\int_{S^1} : \hat{E}^{n+1}(S^1 \times M, 1 \times M) \longrightarrow \hat{E}^n(M), \; [c, \omega, h] \mapsto \left[\int_{S^1} c, \int_{S^1} \omega, -\int_{S^1} h\right]$$

Proposition 3.1. *The integration map on pairs is linear.*

Proof. Let $[c_0, \omega_0, h_0], [c_1, \omega_1, h_1] \in \hat{E}^{n+1}(S^1 \times M, 1 \times M)$. We have to compare

$$\left[\int_{S^1} \alpha_{n+1}(c_0, c_1), \int_{S^1} (\omega_0 + \omega_1), -\int_{S^1} (h_0 + h_1 + (c_0, c_1)^* A_{n+1})\right] \text{ with}$$

$$\left[\alpha_n \circ \left(\int_{S^1} c_0, \int_{S^1} c_1\right), \int_{S^1} \omega_0 + \int_{S^1} \omega_1, -\int_{S^1} h_0 - \int_{S^1} h_1 + \left(\int_{S^1} c_0, \int_{S^1} c_1\right)^* A_n\right]$$

In case $n+1$ is even, by (15) and (17), both representing triples are equal. In case $n+1$ is odd, choose a homotopy $H : \alpha_n \circ (\varepsilon_n^{adj} \times \varepsilon_n^{adj})^{-1} \simeq (\varepsilon_n^{adj})^{-1} \circ (\Omega\alpha_{n+1})$. Using Proposition 2.5, it suffices to show that $\left(\int c_0, \int c_1\right)^* A_n - (c_0^{adj}, c_1^{adj})^* \int_{S^1} H^*\iota_n + \int_{S^1} (c_0, c_1)^* A_{n+1}$ is a coboundary. But this is the pullback under $(c_0^{adj}, c_1^{adj})^* \circ ((\varepsilon_n^{adj} \times \varepsilon_n^{adj})^*)^{-1} = \left(\int c_0, \int c_1\right)^*$ of

$$A_n - (\varepsilon_n^{adj} \times \varepsilon_n^{adj})^* \int_{S^1} H^*\iota_n + \int_{S^1} \varphi_n^* A_{n+1} \in C^{n-1}(E_n \times E_n; V)$$

It is routine to verify that this is a cocycle – and thus a coboundary – using (16) and (2). □

3.1 Absolute Case

Let $i : 1 \times M \subset S^1 \times M$, $j : S^1 \times M \subset (S^1 \times M, 1 \times M)$ and $pr_2 : S^1 \times M \to 1 \times M$ be the projection. We define an integration map on generalized cohomology:

$$\int_{S^1} : E^{n+1}(S^1 \times M) \twoheadrightarrow E^n(M) \tag{20}$$

For $x \in E^{n+1}(S^1 \times M)$ write $x - pr_2^* i^* x = j^* y$ for a unique $y \in E^{n+1}(S^1 \times M, 1 \times M)$. Then put $\int_{S^1} x := \int_{S^1} y$. Since $pr_2^* i^* j^* y = 0$ for $y \in E^{n+1}(S^1 \times M, 1 \times M)$ we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
E^{n+1}(S^1 \times M, 1 \times M) \arrow[r, "j^*"] \arrow[d, "\int_{S^1}"', "\cong"] & E^n(S^1 \times M) \arrow[dl, two heads, "\int_{S^1}"] \\
E^n(M) &
\end{tikzcd}$$

So (20) is indeed surjective. Also $\int_{S^1} pr_2^* = 0$ and analogously for differential forms. We extend the integration map on pairs in differential cohomology similarly to a map

$$\int_{S^1} : \hat{E}^{n+1}(S^1 \times M) \longrightarrow \hat{E}^n(M)$$

such that $\int_{S^1} pr_2^* = 0$ and $\int_{S^1} j^* = \int_{S^1}$. This goes as follows: the map $\hat{E}^{n+1}(S^1 \times M) \xrightarrow{id - pr_2^* i^*} \hat{E}^{n+1}(S^1 \times M)$ composes with i^* to zero and by Theorem 2.7 therefore has values in the image of $\hat{E}^{n+1}(S^1 \times M, 1 \times M) \xrightarrow{j^*} \hat{E}^{n+1}(S^1 \times M)$. For $\hat{x} \in \hat{E}^{n+1}(S^1 \times M)$ let $\hat{y}$ denote *any* preimage of $\hat{x} - pr_2^* i^* \hat{x}$ under j^*.

Proposition 3.2. *The following is well-defined:*

$$\int_{S^1} \hat{x} := \int_{S^1} \hat{y}$$

Moreover (since $i^* pr_2^* = 0$ and $i^* j^* = 0$ in differential cohomology), we have $\int_{S^1} pr_2^* = 0$, $\int_{S^1} j^* = \int_{S^1}$ Also, the integration map on $S^1 \times M$ is linear.

Proof. We first remark that integration on pairs anticommutes[2] with a and commutes with R and I. Consider the commutative diagram with exact rows

$$\begin{tikzcd}
E^n(S^1 \times M) \arrow[r, "ch"] & \Omega^n(S^1 \times M; V)/im(d) \arrow[r, "a"] & \hat{E}^{n+1}(S^1 \times M) \arrow[r, "I"] & E^{n+1}(S^1 \times M) \\
E^n(S^1 \times M, 1 \times M) \arrow[r, "ch"] \arrow[u, "j^*"] & \Omega^n(S^1 \times M, 1 \times M; V)/im(d) \arrow[r, "a"] \arrow[u, "j^*"] & \hat{E}^{n+1}(S^1 \times M, 1 \times M) \arrow[r, "I"] \arrow[u, "j^*"] & E^{n+1}(S^1 \times M, 1 \times M) \arrow[u, hook, "j^*"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

Suppose $j^* \hat{y} = 0$ for $\hat{y} \in \hat{E}^{n+1}(S^1 \times M, 1 \times M)$. Because we have shown that integration on pairs is linear it suffices to show that $\int_{S^1} \hat{y} = 0$. Since $0 = I(j^*(\hat{y})) = j^* I(\hat{y})$ and because

---

[2] Since we are integrating from the left instead of the right (as in [BS10]).

$j^* : E^{n+1}(S^1 \times M, 1 \times M) \to E^{n+1}(S^1 \times M)$ is injective we may write $\hat{y} = a(\Theta)$. $j^*\Theta$ lies in the kernel of a and therefore $j^*\Theta = ch(t)$ for some $t \in E^n(S^1 \times M)$. But $t - pr_2^* i^*(t)$ lies in the kernel of i^* so that we may write $t - pr_2^* i^*(t) = j^* s$ for some $s \in E^n(S^1 \times M, 1 \times M)$. We have

$$\int_{S^1} s = \int_{S^1} j^* s = \int_{S^1} t - \int_{S^1} pr_2^* i^* t = \int_{S^1} t$$

from which it follows that $\int_{S^1} ch(s) = ch(\int_{S^1} s) = ch(\int_{S^1} t) = \int_{S^1} ch(t)$ and that $\int_{S^1} \hat{y} = 0$. Finally, the integration on $S^1 \times M$ is linear since if $j^*\hat{y}_1 = \hat{x}_1 - pr_2^* i^* \hat{x}_1$ and $j^*\hat{y}_2 = \hat{x}_2 - pr_2^* i^* \hat{x}_2$ then we may choose $\hat{y}_1 + \hat{y}_2$ in the preimage of $\hat{x}_1 + \hat{x}_2 - pr_2^* i^*(\hat{x}_1 + \hat{x}_2)$. ☐

Proposition 3.3. *Integration is natural with respect to smooth maps* $f : M \to N$*:*

$$f^* \int_{S^1} \hat{x} = \int_{S^1} (id_{S^1} \times f)^* \hat{x} \qquad \forall \hat{x} \in \hat{E}^{n+1}(S^1 \times M) \tag{21}$$

and similarly for pairs: if $\hat{x} \in \hat{E}^{n+1}(S^1 \times M, 1 \times M)$ *then* $f^* \int_{S^1} \hat{x} = \int_{S^1} (id_{(S^1,1)} \times f)^* \hat{x}$.

Proof. This is clear for differential forms, cochains, and also maps: $(c \circ (id_{S^1} \times f))^{adj} = c^{adj} \circ f$. It therefore follows for integration on pairs. For integration on $S^1 \times M$ it then also follows because $\hat{x} - pr_2^* i^* \hat{x} = j^* \hat{y}$ implies $(id \times f)^* \hat{x} - pr_2^* i^* (id \times f)^* \hat{x} = j^* (id \times f)^* \hat{y}$. ☐

Proposition 3.4. *Integration commutes with the maps* R, I *and anticommutes with* a.

Proof. This is readily verified for integration on pairs. But $\int_{S^1} pr_2^* i^* = 0$ for forms and cohomology classes of $S^1 \times M$, from which the assertion follows. ☐

3.2 Multiple Integrals

On $S^1 \times S^1 \times M$ there is also an *integration over the second variable*, defined by $\int'_{S^1} = \int_{S^1} \tau^*$ for the twist $\tau : S^1 \times S^1 \times M \to S^1 \times S^1 \times M$, $(z, w, m) \mapsto (w, z, m)$.

Proposition 3.5. $\int_{S^1} \int_{S^1} \tau^* = -\int_{S^1} \int_{S^1} : \hat{E}^{n+2}(S^1 \times S^1 \times M) \longrightarrow \hat{E}^n(M)$ *for all even* n*:*

$$\int_{S^1} \int'_{S^1} = -\int_{S^1} \int_{S^1} \tag{22}$$

Note first that the corresponding equation (22) is true for cochains (since $\tau^* B([S^1] \otimes [S^1]) = (-1)^{1 \cdot 1} B([S^1] \otimes [S^1])$ where B denotes the Alexander-Whitney map) and also for differential forms. It is also true for functions $c : S^1 \times S^1 \times M \to E_{n+2}$ in the sense that $\int_{S^1} \int_{S^1} \tau^* c = twist \circ \int_{S^1} \int_{S^1} c$ where $E_n \approx \Omega^2 E_{n+2} \xrightarrow{twist} \Omega^2 E_{n+2} \approx E_n$ interchanges the two path variables. The following lemma allows one integrate twice "directly" on suitable cocycle representatives:

Lemma 3.6. *Let* $\hat{x} \in \hat{E}^{n+2}(S^1 \times S^1 \times M, 1 \times S^1 \times M)$ *and denote*

$$\begin{aligned} i_{13} : S^1 \times 1 \times M \subset S^1 \times S^1 \times M, \quad & i_{23} : 1 \times S^1 \times M \subset S^1 \times S^1 \times M \\ i'_{13} : (S^1 \times 1 \times M, 1 \times 1 \times M) & \subset (S^1 \times S^1 \times M, 1 \times S^1 \times M) \\ pr'_{13} : (S^1 \times S^1 \times M, 1 \times S^1 \times M) & \to (S^1 \times 1 \times M, 1 \times 1 \times M) \end{aligned}$$

Then $\hat{y} = \hat{x} - pr'^*_{13} i'^*_{13} \hat{x}$ *may be represented by a triple* (c, ω, h) *with*

$$c|_{(S^1 \vee S^1) \times M} = const_{pt \in E_{n+2}}, \quad i^*_{13}\omega = 0 \text{ and } i^*_{23}\omega = 0, \quad h|_{(S^1 \vee S^1) \times M} = 0$$

Proof of Lemma. Write $\hat{x} = [c, \omega, h]$. Replacing $\hat{x}$ by $\hat{\hat{x}} = \hat{x} - pr'^*_{13} i'^*_{13} \hat{x}$ and noticing $\hat{\hat{x}} - pr'^*_{13} i'^*_{13} \hat{\hat{x}} = \hat{\hat{x}} = \hat{x} - pr'^*_{13} i'^*_{13} \hat{x}$ we may assume without loss of generality that $i'^*_{13}\hat{x} = 0$ which implies that $i^*_{13}\omega = 0$ and that we have a homotopy $c|_{S^1 \times 1 \times M} \simeq const$ rel $1 \times 1 \times M$. We extend this homotopy by *const* and c to a map

$$I \times (S^1 \times 1 \times M \cup 1 \times S^1 \times M) \cup 0 \times (S^1 \times S^1 \times M) \longrightarrow E_{n+2}$$

Since $S^1 \times 1 \times M \cup 1 \times S^1 \times M \subset S^1 \times S^1 \times M$ is a closed cofibration we may extend this map to a homotopy C rel $1 \times S^1 \times M$ from c to a map $\tilde{c} := C_1$ with $\tilde{c}|_{S^1 \times 1 \times M \cup 1 \times S^1 \times M} = const$. It follows from Propostion 2.5 that, denoting $\tilde{h} = h - \int_I C^* \iota_{n+2}$,

$$\hat{x} = \left[\tilde{c}, \omega, h - \int_I C^* \iota_{n+2}\right] = [\tilde{c}, \omega, \tilde{h}]$$

We have therefore adjusted c according to the requirement. Then $\hat{y} = \hat{x} - pr'^*_{13} i'^*_{13} \hat{x}$ is the triple representative we seek.

□

Proof of Proposition 3.5. For $\hat{z} \in \hat{E}^{n+2}(S^1 \times S^1 \times M)$ write $\hat{z} - pr^*_{23} i^*_{23} \hat{z} = j^*_{23} \hat{x}$ for some $\hat{x} \in \hat{E}^{(}S^1 \times S^1 \times M, 1 \times S^1 \times M)$. Then $\hat{y} = \hat{x} - pr^*_{13} i^*_{13} \hat{x}$ may be represented by a triple (c, ω, h) as in Lemma 3.6. Also,

$$-\int_{S^1}\int_{S^1} \hat{z} = -\int_{S^1}\int_{S^1} \hat{y} = \left[\nu_n \circ \left(\int_{S^1}\int_{S^1} c\right), -\int_{S^1}\int_{S^1} \omega, -\int_{S^1}\int_{S^1} h + \left(\int_{S^1}\int_{S^1} c\right)^* N_n\right]$$

while

$$\int_{S^1}\int_{S^1} \tau^* \hat{z} = \int_{S^1}\int_{S^1} \tau^* \hat{y} = \left[twist \circ \left(\int_{S^1}\int_{S^1} c\right), \int_{S^1}\int_{S^1} \tau^* \omega, \int_{S^1}\int_{S^1} \tau^* h\right]$$

It is sufficient now to observe that $N_n - \int_I H_n^* \iota_n$ is a coboundary for a homotopy $H_n : \nu_n \simeq twist$, by verifying that it is a cocycle. □

For more details on the proof of Proposition 3.5 we refer to [Upm].

4 Products

Suppose now that E_n is an Ω-spectrum representing a multiplicative cohomology theory. For a better understanding of some of the points arising in the product construction later, let's first assume that the spaces E_n are manifolds and the ι_n differential forms. S will typically denote a smooth manifold in this section. In this case we have a natural lift of $can : E_n^S \to [S, E_n]$ to $\hat{H}^n(S; (E_n, \iota_n); V)$ given by $s(c) = [c, c^*\iota_n, 0]$. From this we obtain a natural lift $\tilde{ch} = R \circ s : E_n^S \to \Omega^n_{cl}(S; V)$ of $ch \circ can$. Let

$$\mu_{n,m} : E_n \wedge E_m \to E_{n+m}$$

be maps representing the multiplication in the spectrum. We will write also

$$c_1 \cup c_2 := \mu_{n,m} \circ (c_1, c_2), \qquad (c_1 \in E_n^S, c_2 \in E_m^S)$$

Assume now that $\hat{H}^*$ has a multiplicative structure. Then compute

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{ch}(c_1) \wedge \tilde{ch}(c_2) &= Rs(c_1) \wedge Rs(c_2) = R(s(c_1) \cup s(c_2)) \\ &= R(s(c_1 \cup c_2) + s(c_1) \cup s(c_2) - s(c_1 \cup c_2)) \\ &= \tilde{ch}(c_1 \cup c_2) + R\left(s(c_1) \cup s(c_2) - s(c_1 \cup c_2)\right)\end{aligned}$$

Since $I(s(c_1) \cup s(c_2) - s(c_1 \cup c_2)) = 0$ we may write

$$a(\varsigma(c_1, c_2)) = s(c_1) \cup s(c_2) - s(c_1 \cup c_2)$$

for a natural transformation

$$\varsigma : E_n^S \times E_m^S \Longrightarrow \Omega^{n+m-1}(S)/im(d)/im(ch)$$

which, by Yoneda's Lemma, is implemented by cosets of differential forms $M_{n,m} \in \Omega^{n+m-1}(E_n \times E_m; V)/im(d)$ (uniquely determined up to $im(ch)$) via the formula $\varsigma(c_1, c_2) = (c_1, c_2)^* M_{n,m}$. Taking $c_1 = pr_1, c_2 = pr_2$ we see that $M_{n,m}$ is given by the condition $a(M_{n,m}) = s(id_n) \times s(id_m) - s(\mu_{n,m})$. Applying R yields as a necessary condition for a product on $\hat{H}^*$:

$$dM_{n,m} = \iota_n \times \iota_m - \mu_{n,m}^* \iota_{n+m} \tag{23}$$

Conversely, this is precisely the condition that enables us to define a multiplication map on $\hat{H}^*$, using the isomorphisms $\hat{H}^*(M_{n,m})$ induced by the $M_{n,m}$'s and the transfer map $(\mu_{n,m})_*$

$$\hat{H}^*(S; (E_n \times E_m, \iota_n \times \iota_m); V) \cong \hat{H}^*(S; (E_n \times E_m; \mu_{n,m}^* \iota_{n+m}); V) \to \hat{H}^*(S; (E_{n+m}, \iota_{n+m}); V)$$

Notice that this map depends only on the coset $(M_{n,m} + im(\delta)) + im(ch)$, by Lemma 2.3 and Proposition 2.5.

If the product on $\hat{H}^*$ is associative, bilinear, has a unit, or is graded commutative then the $M_{n,m}$ will not be completely arbitrary, but satisfy certain *coherence conditions*, e.g.

$$(id_n \times \mu_{m,l})^* M_{n,m+l} + \iota_n \times M_{m,l} \equiv (\mu_{n,m} \times id_l)^* M_{n+m,l} + M_{n,m} \times \iota_l - \int_I H^* \iota_{n+m+l}$$

modulo coboundaries and $im(ch)$ for associativity. Here, H denotes a homotopy $H : \mu_{n+m,l} \circ (\mu_{n,m} \times id_l) \simeq \mu_{n,m+l} \circ (id_n \times \mu_{m,l})$. Conversely, whenever this coherence condition is met, we are able to define an associative product (cf. the proof of associativity in Theorem 4.1)[3].

---

[3] Such a condition might of course be ensured also by working only with ring spectra in a stricter sense.

Proof of claimed Coherence Condition. If the product is associative then certainly $(s(id_n) \times s(id_m)) \times s(id_l)$ is equal to $s(id_n) \times (s(id_m) \times s(id_l))$. The first is

$$\begin{aligned}(s(id_n) \times s(id_m)) \times s(id_l) &= (s(\mu_{n,m}) + a(M_{n,m})) \times s(id_l) \\ &= (\mu_{n,m} \times id_l)^* s(id_{n+m}) \times s(id_l) + a(M_{n,m} \times Rs(id_l)) \\ &= (\mu_{n,m} \times id_l)^* \left(s(\mu_{n+m,l}) + a(M_{n+m,l})\right) + a(M_{n,m} \times \iota_l) \\ &= (\mu_{n+m,l}(\mu_{n,m} \times id_l))^* s(id_{n+m+l}) + a\left((\mu_{n,m} \times id_l)^* M_{n+m,l} + M_{n,m} \times \iota_l\right)\end{aligned}$$

using $a(\Theta) \times \hat{x} = a(\Theta \times R(\hat{x}))$ from the axioms for products. Similarly, the second is

$$s(id_n) \times (s(id_m) \times s(id_l)) = (\mu_{n,m+l}(id_n \times \mu_{m,l}))^* s(id_{n+m+l}) + a\left((id_n \times \mu_{m,l})^* M_{n,m+l} + \iota_n \times M_{m,l}\right)$$

Now we use the *homotopy formula*, which follows from the axioms for any differential generalized cohomology theory, c.f. [BS10]:

$$i_1^* \hat{x} - i_0^* \hat{x} = a\left(\int_I R(\hat{x})\right), \qquad \forall \hat{x} \in \hat{E}^*(I \times M)$$

Taking $\hat{x} = H^* s(id_{n+m+l})$ here, we conclude that

$$(\mu(id \times \mu))^* s(id) - (\mu(\mu \times id))^* s(id) = a\left(\int_I R(H^* s(id))\right) = a\left(\int_I H^* \iota_{n+m+l}\right)$$

The assertion now follows from the exact sequence in Theorem 2.4. □

As already remarked, the product depends on the coset $(M_{n,m} + im(\delta)) + im(ch)$. Different cosets correspond in general to *different multiplicative structures*: Two multiplications $\cup$ and $\tilde{\cup}$ determine a natural transformation

$$K : E^n \times E^m \Longrightarrow H_{dR}^{n+m-1}, \quad a\left(K(I(x), I(y))\right) = x \tilde{\cup} y - x \cup y, \qquad (x, y \in \hat{H}^n(S; (E_n, \iota_n); V))$$

which arises by Yoneda's Lemma from elements $\eta_{n,m} \in H_{dR}^{n+m-1}(E_n \times E_m; V)$. We then have $\tilde{M}_{n,m} = M_{n,m} + \eta_{n,m}$. Conversely, choosing η's yields a K and thus another bilinear product.

4.1 Construction in Even Degrees

For rationally even spectra all these ambiguities disappear for even n. If we demand *compatibility with integration* then the product is determined in all degrees. Thus suppose that E^* is a rationally even multiplicative cohomology theory with units[4], with internal product $\cup$, and associated external product $\times$.

Let $\mu_{n,m} : E_n \wedge E_m \to E_{n+m}$ denote maps representing multiplication. We use the unqualified μ to denote the induced multiplication $V \otimes V \to V$ on the *coefficients* $V^* = E^*(pt) \otimes \mathbb{R}$. Choose also a map $e : pt \to E_0$ representing the unit.

Recall that by Acyclic Models we have a (unique up to homotopy) natural chain homotopy with the property that for differential forms ω_0, ω_1 we have

$$\delta B(\omega_0 \otimes \omega_1) + Bd(\omega_0 \otimes \omega_1) = \omega_0 \wedge \omega_1 - \omega_0 \cup \omega_1$$

---

[4] Otherwise $\hat{E}$ will of course not have units either. The other arguments go through, though.

Since ch is compatible with the product we have $0 = ch(id) \times ch(id) - ch(id \times id) = \mu(\iota_n \times \iota_n) - \mu^*_{n,m}\iota_{n+m}$ in reduced cohomology. We may thus *choose* reduced cochains $M_{n,m} \in \tilde{C}^{n+m-1}(E_n \wedge E_m; V)$ with

$$\delta M_{n,m} = \mu(\iota_n \times \iota_m) - \mu^*_{n,m}\iota_{n+m}, \qquad (n, m \text{ even})$$

By assumption (rationally even), any two choices differ by a coboundary. The following *product in even degrees* is thus *independent* (Lemma 2.3) of the choice of the cochains $M_{n,m}$:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\hat{H}^n(S; (E_n, \iota_n); V) \times \hat{H}^m(S; (E_m, \iota_m); V) \arrow[d, "\mu\circ\chi"] \\
\hat{H}^{n+m}(S; (E_n \times E_m, \mu(\iota_n \times \iota_m)); V) \arrow[d, "\hat{H}^{n+m}(M_{n,m})"] \\
\hat{H}^{n+m}(S; (E_n \times E_m, \mu^*_{n,m}\iota_{n+m}); V) \arrow[d, "(\mu_{n,m})_*"] \\
\hat{H}^{n+m}(S; (E_{n+m}, \iota_{n+m}); V)
\end{tikzcd}$$

Explicitly, $\mu\left([c_0, \omega_0, h_0],\ [c_1, \omega_1, h_1]\right)$ equals

$$[\mu_{n,m}(c_0, c_1), \omega_0 \wedge \omega_1, B(\omega_0 \otimes \omega_1) + h_0 \cup \omega_1 + (-1)^n \omega_0 \cup h_1 - h_0 \cup \delta h_1 + (c_0, c_1)^* M_{n,m}]$$

Here we have suppressed the coefficient map μ from the notation; By Lemma 2.3 different choices for the chain homotopy B yield the same map in differential cohomology.

Theorem 4.1. *The product just defined on $\hat{E}^{2*}(S)$ in even degrees is (graded) commutative, bilinear, associative, and has a unit $\hat{1}_S$, to be defined in the proof (24). In other words, $\hat{E}^{2*}$ is a functor to unital graded commutative rings (cf. Proposition 4.2)*

Proof. We first define the **neutral elements**. By naturality, the unit 1_S in $E^0(S)$ equals $\pi^* 1_{pt}$ for the projection $\pi = \pi_S : S \longrightarrow \{pt\}$. Choose a representing map $u : \{pt\} \longrightarrow E_0$ for 1_{pt}. Then $V^* = E^*(pt) \otimes \mathbb{R}$ has unit $1_{pt} \otimes 1$. The singular cohomology $H^*(-; V)$ with coefficients in V of course also has natural units coming from a cohomology class $1_{pt} \in H^0(pt; V) = H^0(pt; V^0)$. It may be represented by a cochain that comes from a differential form (under the deRham map)

$$\omega_{pt} \in \Omega^0(pt; V) = \Omega^0(pt; V^0), \qquad \omega_{pt}(pt) := 1_{pt} \otimes 1$$

Because the Chern character preserves units, $u^*[\iota_0] = ch([u]) = ch(1_{pt}) = 1_{pt}$, we may write $\delta U = \omega_{pt} - u^*\iota_0$ for some cochain $U \in C^{-1}(pt; V)$. For a smooth manifold S define the *unit in differential cohomology*

$$\hat{1}_S = \left[u \circ \pi_S, \pi_S^* \omega_{pt}, \pi_S^* U\right] \tag{24}$$

Notice that since E is rationally even, the definition of $\hat{1}_S$ does not depend on the choice of the "refining cochain" U. Clearly, $\pi_S^*\omega_{pt}$ is just the unit of $\Omega^*(S; V)$.

First note that $B(\pi_S^*\omega_{pt} \otimes -)$ is a chain homotopy from $\eta \mapsto \pi_S^*\omega_{pt} \wedge \eta$ to $\eta \mapsto \pi_S^*\omega_{pt} \cup \eta$ – just as the zero map is. It follows that $B(\pi_S^*\omega_{pt} \otimes \eta)$ is a coboundary for every cocycle η. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}&\hat{1}_S \cup \hat{x}\\ &=\big[\mu_{0,n}(u\pi_S, c), \pi_S^*\omega_{pt} \wedge \omega, B(\pi_S^*\omega_{pt} \otimes \omega) + \pi_S^*U \cup \omega + \pi_S^*\omega_{pt} \cup h - \pi_S^*U \cup \delta h + (u\pi_S, c)^*M_{0,n}\big]\\ &=\Big[\mu_{0n}(u\pi_{E_n}, id) \circ c, \omega, h + c^*\big(\pi_{E_n}^*U \cup \iota_n + (u\pi_{E_n}, id)^*M_{0,n}\big)\Big]\end{aligned}$$

selecting a homotopy $H : \mu_{0,n} \circ (u\pi_{E_n}, id) \simeq id_{E_n}$ and using Proposition 2.5 we conclude that it suffices to show that

$$\pi_{E_n}^*U \cup \iota_n + (u\pi_{E_n}, id)^*M_{0,n} - \int_I H^*\iota_n \in C^{n-1}(E_n; V)$$

is a coboundary. But it is easily checked to be a cocycle – which suffices.

For **commutativity** we first remark that $D(\omega_0 \otimes \omega_1) := (-1)^{|\omega_0|\cdot|\omega_1|}B(\omega_1 \otimes \omega_0)$ also satisfies $\delta D(\omega_0 \otimes \omega_1) + D(d(\omega_0 \otimes \omega_1)) = \omega_0 \wedge \omega_1 - \omega_0 \cup \omega_1$. Therefore D and B are naturally chain homotopic. Let $[c_0, \omega_0, h_0] \in \hat{E}^n(S)$ and $[c_1, \omega_1, h_1] \in \hat{E}^m(S)$. For n, m even and ω_0, ω_1 closed it follows then that $B(\omega_0 \otimes \omega_1)$ and $B(\omega_1 \otimes \omega_0)$ differ by a coboundary. Also $h_1 \cup \delta h_0 = \delta h_0 \cup h_1 = \delta(h_0 \cup h_1) + h_0 \cup \delta h_1 \equiv h_0 \cup \delta h_1$. Therefore, selecting a homotopy $H : \mu_{m,n} \circ twist \simeq \mu_{n,m}$,

$$\begin{aligned}&[c_1, \omega_1, h_1] \cup [c_0, \omega_0, h_0]\\ &\quad= \big[\mu_{m,n}(c_1, c_0), \omega_1 \wedge \omega_0, B(\omega_1 \otimes \omega_0) + h_1 \cup \omega_0 + \omega_1 \cup h_0 - h_1 \cup \delta h_0 + (c_1, c_0)^*M_{m,n}\big]\\ &\quad= \Big[\mu_{n,m} \circ twist \circ (c_1, c_0), \omega_0 \wedge \omega_1,\\ &\qquad B(\omega_0 \otimes \omega_1) + \omega_0 \cup h_1 + h_0 \cup \omega_1 - h_0 \cup \delta h_1 + (c_1, c_0)^*M_{m,n} - (c_0, c_1)^* \int_I H^*\iota_{n+m}\Big]\end{aligned}$$

But $M_{n,m} - twist^*M_{m,n} + \int_I H^*\iota_{n+m}$ is a coboundary since it is a cocycle.

For **associativity** it is clearly enough to prove the commutativity of the hexagon on the next page. The commutativity of ① is simply the associativity statement for χ proven earlier. ② follows from (13):

$$(id_n \times \mu_{m,l}; \iota_n \times M_{m,l}) \circ \chi = \chi \circ ((id, 0) \times (\mu_{m,l}; M_{m,l}))$$

and ③ is analogous. For ④ select a homotopy $H : \mu_{n,m+l} \circ (id \times \mu_{m,l}) \simeq \mu_{n+m,l} \circ (\mu_{n,m} \times id_l)$ and compare

$$\begin{aligned}(\mu_{n,m+l}; M_{n,m+l}) \circ (id_n \times \mu_{m,l}; \iota_n \times M_{m,l}) &= (\mu_{n,m+l} \circ (id_n \times \mu_{m,l}); M_{n,m+l} + \mu_{n,m+l}^*(\iota_n \times M_{m,l}))\\ &= \big(\mu_{n+m,l} \circ (\mu_{n,m} \times id_l); M_{n,m+l} + \mu_{n,m+l}^*(\iota_n \times M_{m,l}) - \int H^*\iota_{n+m+l}\big)\end{aligned}$$

with

$$\big(\mu_{n+m,l}; M_{n+m,l}\big) \circ (\mu_{n,m} \times id_l; M_{n,m} \times \iota_l) = (\mu_{n+m,l} \circ (\mu_{n,m} \times id_l); M_{n+m,l} + \mu_{n+m,l}^*(M_{n,m} \times \iota_l)\big)$$

But $M_{n,m+l} + \mu_{n,m+l}^*(\iota_n \times M_{m,l}) - \int H^*\iota_{n+m+l} - M_{n+m,l} - \mu_{n+m,l}^*(M_{n,m} \times \iota_l)$ is a coboundary which is seen, as usual, by showing that is a cocycle.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & & \hat{H}^n(S;E_n,\iota_n)\times\hat{H}^m(S;E_m,\iota_m)\times\hat{H}^l(S;E_l,\iota_l) \arrow[dll, "\chi\times id"'] \arrow[drr, "id\times\chi"] & & \\
\hat{H}^{n+m}(S;E_n\times E_m;\iota_n\times\iota_m)\times\hat{H}^l(S;E_l;\iota_l) \arrow[d, "(\mu_{n,m};M_{n,m})\times id"'] \arrow[drr, "\chi"] & & \textcircled{1} & & \hat{H}^n(S;E_n,\iota_n)\times\hat{H}^{m+l}(S;E_m\times E_l,\iota_m\times\iota_l) \arrow[dll, "\chi"'] \arrow[d, "id\times(\mu_{m,l};M_{m,l})"] \\
\hat{H}^{n+m}(S;E_{n+m},\iota_{n+m})\times\hat{H}^l(S;E_l,\iota_l) \arrow[d, "\chi"'] & \textcircled{2} & \hat{H}^{n+m+l}(S;E_n\times E_m\times E_l,\iota_n\times\iota_m\times\iota_l) \arrow[dll, "(\mu_{n,m}\times id_l;M_{n,m}\times\iota_l)"'] \arrow[drr, "(id_n\times\mu_{m,l};\iota_n\times M_{m,l})"] & \textcircled{3} & \hat{H}^n(S;E_n,\iota_n)\times\hat{H}^{m+l}(S;E_{m+l},\iota_{m+l}) \arrow[d, "\chi"] \\
\hat{H}^{n+m+l}(S;E_{n+m}\times E_l,\iota_{n+m}\times\iota_l) \arrow[drr, "(\mu_{n+m,l},M_{n+m,l})"'] & & \textcircled{4} & & \hat{H}^{n+m+l}(S;E_n\times E_{m+l},\iota_n\times\iota_{m+l}) \arrow[dll, "(\mu_{n,m+l},M_{n,m+l})"] \\
 & & \hat{H}^{n+m+l}(S;E_{n+m+l},\iota_{n+m+l}) & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Bilinearity may be proven similarly, and will be ommited.

☐

Proposition 4.2. *For a smooth map $f : S_1 \to S_2$ the induced map*

$$f^* : \hat{E}^n(S_2) \longrightarrow \hat{E}^n(S_1)$$

is multiplicative in even degrees. Moreover, f^* is unital: $f^*(\hat{1}_{S_2}) = \hat{1}_{S_1}$.

Proof. Both $f^*\left([c_0, \omega_0, h_0] \cup [c_1, \omega_1, h_1]\right)$ and $f^*[c_0, \omega_0, h_0] \cup f^*[c_1, \omega_1, h_1]$ are equal to

$$[\mu_{n,m}(c_0, c_1) \circ f, f^*\omega_0 \wedge f^*\omega_1, f^*(B(\omega_0 \otimes \omega_1) + h_0 \cup \omega_1 + (-1)^n \omega_0 \cup h_1 - h_0 \cup \delta h_1) + f^*(c_0, c_1)^* M_{n,m}]$$

using the fact that B is natural. ☐

Proposition 4.3. *Let n, m be even and $\Theta \in \Omega^{n-1}(S; V), \hat{x} = [c, \omega, h] \in \hat{E}^m(M)$. Then we have*

$$a(\Theta) \cup \hat{x} = a(\Theta \wedge R(\hat{x})) \tag{25}$$

Proof. Select a homotopy $H : \mu_{n,m} \circ (const \times id_m) \simeq const$. Now compute

$$\begin{aligned} a(\Theta) \cup \hat{x} &= [\mu_{n,m}(const, c), d\Theta \wedge \omega, B(d\Theta \otimes \omega) + \Theta \cup \omega + (-1)^n d\Theta \cup h - \Theta \cup \delta h + (const, c)^* M_{n,m}] \\ &= [\mu_{n,m}(const, c), d\Theta \wedge \omega, \Theta \wedge \omega + (const, c)^* M_{n,m}] \end{aligned}$$

because $B(d\Theta \otimes \omega) \equiv \Theta \wedge \omega - \Theta \cup \omega$ (ω is closed) and because $(-1)^n d\Theta \cup h - \Theta \cup \delta h$ is a coboundary. We have to compare with

$$a(\Theta \wedge R(\hat{x})) = [const, d\Theta \wedge \omega, \Theta \wedge \omega]$$

It thus suffices to show that the following is a coboundary – by applying δ:

$$(const \times id)^* M_{n,m} - \int_I H^* \iota_{n+m} \in C^{n+m-1}(E_n \times E_m; V)$$

☐

4.2 Extension to Odd Degrees

The extension to odd degrees relies on the following lemma:

Lemma 4.4. *For any $\hat{x} \in \hat{E}^{n-1}(M)$ there exists $\hat{X} \in \hat{E}^n(S^1 \times M, 1 \times M)$ with $\int_{S^1} \hat{X} = \hat{x}$. For any two choices $\hat{X}, \hat{X}'$ there exists a differential form $\Theta \in \Omega^{n-1}(S^1 \times M, 1 \times M; V)$ with $\hat{X} - \hat{X}' = a(\Theta)$ and such that $\int_{S^1} \Theta$ lies in the image of the Chern character.*

Proof. **Existence**. By surjectivity $\hat{E}^n(S^1 \times M, 1 \times M) \overset{I}{\twoheadrightarrow} E^n(S^1 \times M, 1 \times M) \overset{\int_{S^1}}{\cong} E^{n-1}(M)$ we may choose $\hat{X} \in \hat{E}^n(S^1 \times M, 1 \times M)$ with $\int_{S^1} I(\hat{X}) = I(\hat{x})$. Then $\hat{x} - \int_{S^1} \hat{X}$ lies in the kernel of I and thus equals $a(\Theta)$ for some $\Theta \in \Omega^{n-2}(M; V)$. Pick $\alpha : S^1 \to \mathbb{R}$ smooth with $\int_{S^1} \alpha(t)dt = 1$

and $\alpha(1) = 0$ and let $\tilde{\Theta} = -\alpha(t)dt \wedge pr_2^*\Theta \in \Omega^{n-1}(S^1 \times M, 1 \times M; V)$. Then $\int_{S^1} \tilde{\Theta} = -\Theta$, and $\hat{X} + a(\tilde{\Theta})$ is the element we seek.

Uniqueness. We have $\int_{S^1} I(\hat{X} - \hat{X}') = 0$ so that $I(\hat{X} - \hat{X}')$ lies in the kernel of $\int_{S^1} : E^n(S^1 \times M, 1 \times M) \cong E^{n-1}(M)$, which is zero. Thus, $I(\hat{X} - \hat{X}') = 0$ so that $\hat{X} - \hat{X}' = a(\Theta)$ for some $\Theta \in \Omega^{n-1}(S^1 \times M, 1 \times M; V)$. Since $a \int_{S^1} \Theta = -\int_{S^1} a(\Theta) = -\int_{S^1}(\hat{X} - \hat{X}') = 0$, the element $\int_{S^1} \Theta$ lies in the image of the Chern character. □

We will usually denote a choice of element $\hat{X} \in \hat{E}^n(S^1 \times M)$ with $\int \hat{X} = \hat{x}$ by *upper case.*

The internal product $\cup$ in even degrees in differential cohomology is equivalent to an associative, bilinear, and commutative[5] external product $\times$ in even degrees via the usual formulas

$$\hat{x} \times \hat{y} = pr_1^*\hat{x} \cup pr_2^*\hat{y}$$
$$\hat{x} \cup \hat{y} = \Delta^*(\hat{x} \times \hat{y})$$

The exterior wedge product of differential forms is denoted by $\overline{\wedge}$. Explicitly, $\hat{x} \times \hat{y}$ is

$$\begin{aligned}
&[c_1,\omega_1, h_1] \times [c_2, \omega_2, h_2] \\
&\quad = \big[\mu_{n,m}(c_1 \times c_2),\ \omega_1 \overline{\wedge} \omega_2,\ B(\omega_1 \otimes \omega_2) + h_1 \times \omega_2 + (-1)^{|\omega_1|}\omega_1 \times h_2 - h_1 \times \delta h_2 + (c_1 \times c_2)^* M_{n,m}\big]
\end{aligned}$$

Definition 4.5. Let n, m be even. Define $\hat{x} \times \hat{y}$ by

$$\int_{S^1} \hat{X} \times \hat{y} \qquad \text{for } \hat{x} \in \hat{E}^{n-1}(M), \hat{y} \in \hat{E}^m(N), \tag{26}$$

$$\int_{S^1} \hat{x} \times \hat{Y} \qquad \text{for } \hat{x} \in \hat{E}^n(M), \quad \hat{y} \in \hat{E}^{m-1}(N), \tag{27}$$

$$\int_{S^1} \hat{X} \times \hat{y} = -\int_{S^1} \hat{x} \times \hat{Y} \qquad \text{for } \hat{x} \in \hat{E}^{n-1}(M), \hat{y} \in \hat{E}^{m-1}(N). \tag{28}$$

where $\hat{X} \in \hat{E}^{|\hat{x}|+1}(S^1 \times M)$, $\hat{Y} \in \hat{E}^{|\hat{y}|+1}(S^1 \times N)$ are absolute classes with $\int_{S^1} \hat{X} = \hat{x}, \int_{S^1} \hat{Y} = \hat{y}$.

Proposition 4.6. *The above is well-defined. Moreover, in all degrees we have the formula*

$$a(\Theta) \times \hat{y} = a(\Theta \times R(\hat{y})), \qquad \forall \Theta \in \Omega^{n-1}(M; V), \hat{y} \in \hat{E}^m(N) \tag{29}$$

Proof. For the asserted equality in (28) we calculate

$$\int_{S^1} \hat{X} \times \hat{y} = \int_{S^1} \int'_{S^1} \hat{X} \times \hat{Y} = -\int_{S^1} \int_{S^1} \hat{X} \times \hat{Y} = -\int_{S^1} \hat{x} \times \hat{Y}$$

where the slash indicates that we integrate over the second copy of S^1. Note that the middle equality follows from Proposition 3.5 since $\hat{X}, \hat{Y}$ are of even degree. Next, let us show that (26) is indeed well-defined: Thus, suppose $\int \hat{X} = \hat{x} = \int \hat{X}'$ and write $j^*\hat{Z} = \hat{X} - pr_2^* i^* \hat{X}$ and $j^*\hat{Z}' = \hat{X}' - pr_2^* i^* \hat{X}'$, using Theorem 2.7, for relative classes $\hat{Z}, \hat{Z}' \in \hat{E}^n(S^1 \times M, 1 \times M)$, where

$$j : S^1 \times M \subset (S^1 \times M, 1 \times M), \;\; i : 1 \times M \subset S^1 \times M, \;\; pr_2 : S^1 \times M \twoheadrightarrow 1 \times M$$

---

[5] Meaning $\hat{x} \times \hat{y} = twist^*(\hat{y} \times \hat{x})$

Using the rules in Proposition 3.2, it follows that $\int \hat{Z} = \int \hat{X} = \hat{x} = \int \hat{X}' = \int \hat{Z}'$ so that Lemma 4.4 implies that $\hat{Z}$ and $\hat{Z}'$ differ by $a(\Theta)$ with $\int_{S^1} \Theta \in can^{-1}(im(ch))$. Since R has image contained in $can^{-1}(im(ch))$ and since ch is multiplicative in cohomology, $\int_{S^1} \Theta \overline{\wedge} R(\hat{y})$ also represents an element in the image of the Chern character. Thus,

$$\int_{S^1} a(\Theta) \times \hat{y} \overset{(25)}{=} \int_{S^1} a(\Theta \overline{\wedge} R(\hat{y})) = -a\left(\int_{S^1} \Theta \overline{\wedge} R(\hat{y}) \right) = 0$$

which shows that $\int (\hat{X} - \hat{X}') \times \hat{y} = \int (\hat{Z} - \hat{Z}') \times \hat{y} = 0$ so that (26) is really well-defined.

Also, we still have $a(\Theta) \times \hat{y} = a(\Theta \overline{\wedge} R(\hat{y}))$ for $\Theta \in \Omega^{n-2}(M; V)$, $\hat{y} \in \hat{E}^m(N)$ and n, m even: Pick $\tilde{\Theta} \in \Omega^{n-1}(S^1 \times M; V)$ with $-\int_{S^1} \tilde{\Theta} = \Theta$. Then $\int_{S^1} a(\tilde{\Theta}) = a(\Theta)$ and by definition (26):

$$a(\Theta) \times \hat{y} \overset{(26)}{=} \int_{S^1} a(\tilde{\Theta}) \times \hat{y} \overset{(25)}{=} \int_{S^1} a(\tilde{\Theta} \overline{\wedge} R(\hat{y})) = a\left(-\int_{S^1} \tilde{\Theta} \overline{\wedge} R(\hat{y}) \right) = a(\Theta \overline{\wedge} R(\hat{y}))$$

The proof that (27) and (28) are also well-defined and still satisfy (29) is entirely analogous. ☐

It is clear that the extended product is bilinear, unital, and graded commutative (cf. (28)) in all degrees. For associativity we need a compatibility of multiplication and $\int_{S^1}$:

Proposition 4.7. *For any* $\hat{x} \in \hat{E}^n(S^1 \times M)$ *and* $\hat{y} \in \hat{E}^m(N)$ *we have*

$$\int_{S^1} (\hat{x} \times \hat{y}) = \left(\int_{S^1} \hat{x} \right) \times \hat{y}, \qquad (-1)^{|\hat{y}|} \int_{S^1} (\hat{y} \times \hat{x}) = \hat{y} \times \left(\int_{S^1} \hat{x} \right) \tag{30}$$

Proof. For n, m both even, this is just (26). For n odd and m even we have, using Lemma 4.8, which we will prove independently in a moment,

$$\int_{S^1} (\hat{x} \times \hat{y}) \overset{(26)}{=} \int_{S^1} \int_{S^1} (\hat{X} \times \hat{y}) \overset{(31)}{=} \left(\int_{S^1} \int_{S^1} \hat{X} \right) \times \hat{y} = \left(\int_{S^1} \hat{x} \right) \times \hat{y}$$

For n even and m odd, we have by Proposition 3.5

$$\int_{S^1} (\hat{x} \times \hat{y}) \overset{(27)}{=} \int_{S^1} \int_{S^1}' (\hat{x} \times \hat{Y}) = -\int_{S^1} \int_{S^1} (\hat{x} \times \hat{Y}) \overset{(26)}{=} -\int_{S^1} \left(\int_{S^1} \hat{x} \right) \times \hat{Y} \overset{(28)}{=} \left(\int_{S^1} \hat{x} \right) \times \hat{y}$$

Finally, for n, m both odd, by applying Proposition 3.5 twice,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{S^1} (\hat{x} \times \hat{y}) &= \int_{S^1} \int_{S^1} \int_{S^1}' (\hat{X} \times \hat{Y}) \overset{(22)}{=} \int_{S^1} \int_{S^1} \int_{S^1} (\hat{X} \times \hat{Y}) \overset{(31)}{=} \int_{S^1} \left(\int_{S^1} \int_{S^1} \hat{X} \right) \times \hat{Y} \\ &\overset{(27)}{=} \left(\int_{S^1} \int_{S^1} \hat{X} \right) \times \hat{y} = \left(\int_{S^1} \hat{x} \right) \times \hat{y} \end{aligned}$$

The second asserted equation is then immediate, by graded commutativity. ☐

It is now easy to verify associativity case by case, using the already proven associativity if all indices are even.

Lemma 4.8. *Suppose n, m are even. For $\hat{z} \in \hat{E}^n(S^1 \times S^1 \times M)$ and $\hat{w} \in \hat{E}^m(N)$ we then have*

$$\int_{S^1}\int_{S^1} (\hat{z} \times \hat{w}) = \left(\int_{S^1}\int_{S^1} \hat{z}\right) \times \hat{w} \tag{31}$$

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Proposition 3.5 and we will use the notation from there. Represent $\hat{y}$ by a triple (c_0, ω_0, h_0) as in Lemma 3.6 that may be integrated directly. Then for all $\hat{w} = [c_1, \omega_1, h_1]$

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{S^1}\int_{S^1} (\hat{z} \times \hat{w}) = \int_{S^1}\int_{S^1} (\hat{y} \times \hat{w}) = \Big[\int_{S^1}\int_{S^1} \mu_{n,m}(c_0 \times c_1), \int_{S^1}\int_{S^1} \omega_0 \overline{\wedge} \omega_1,\\ \int_{S^1}\int_{S^1} (B(\omega, \omega_1) + \omega_0 \times h_1 + h_0 \times \omega_1 - h_0 \times \delta h_1 + (c_0 \times c_1)^* M_{n,m})\Big]\end{aligned}$$

while on the other hand

$$\begin{aligned}&(\int_{S^1}\int_{S^1} \hat{z}) \times \hat{w} = (\int_{S^1}\int_{S^1} \hat{y}) \times \hat{w} = \Big[\mu_{n-2,m} \circ (\int_{S^1}\int_{S^1} c_0 \times c_1), (\int_{S^1}\int_{S^1} \omega_0) \overline{\wedge} \omega_1,\\ &B(\int_{S^1}\int_{S^1} \omega_0, \omega_1) + (\int_{S^1}\int_{S^1} \omega_0) \times h_1 + (\int_{S^1}\int_{S^1} h_0) \times \omega_1 - (\int_{S^1}\int_{S^1} h_0) \times \delta h_1 + (\int_{S^1}\int_{S^1} c_0 \times c_1)^* M_{n-2,m}\Big]\end{aligned}$$

The natural chain homotopies $\int_{S^1}\int_{S^1} B(-,-), B(\int_{S^1}\int_{S^1} -,-)$ are chain homotopic by Acyclic Models. They therefore differ on closed forms only by coboundaries. Select a homotopy $H : I \times \Sigma^2 E_{n-2} \times E_m \to E_{n+m}$ from $\mu_{n,m} \circ (\varepsilon_{n-1} \circ \Sigma\varepsilon_{n-2} \times id_m)$ to $\varepsilon_{n+m-1} \circ \Sigma\varepsilon_{n+m-2} \circ \Sigma^2 \mu_{n-2,m}$. It suffices to show that the pullback under $(\int_{S^1}\int_{S^1} c_0) \times c_1$ of

$$\int_{S^1}\int_{S^1} (\varepsilon_{n-1} \circ \Sigma\varepsilon_{n-2} \times id_m)^* M_{n,m} - \int_I H^*((\Omega\varepsilon^{adj}_{n+m-1})^{-1})^*((\varepsilon^{adj}_{n+m-2})^{-1})^* \iota_{n+m-2} - M_{n-2,m}$$

is a coboundary. As usual, applying δ yields zero and the result follows. For more details, especially on the use of Acyclic Models here, we refer to [Upm]. ☐

We close with our main result:

Theorem 4.9. *Let E be a rationally even, multiplicative cohomology theory. Then there exists a differential extension $\hat{E}^*$ to a multiplicative differential cohomology theory in the sense of [BS10] which is a contravariant functor to the category of unital graded commutative rings. Moreover, the product structure is compatible with integration (Proposition 4.7).*

Proof. Since pullback f^* along smooth maps $f : M \to N$ is compatible with integration (Proposition 3.3) it follows from Proposition 4.2 that f^* preserves the product also in odd degrees. ☐

References

[BKS10] U. Bunke, M. Kreck, and T. Schick, *A geometric description of differential cohomology*, Annales mathématiques Blaise Pascal **17** (2010), 1–16.

[BS09] U. Bunke and T. Schick, *Smooth K-theory*, Astérisque (2009), 45–135.

[BS10] ______, *Uniqueness of smooth extensions of generalized cohomology theories*, Journal of topology **3** (2010), 110–156.

[BSSW09] U. Bunke, T. Schick, I. Schröder, and M. Wiethaup, *Landweber exact formal group laws and smooth cohomology theories*, Algebraic and geometric topology **9** (2009), 1751–1790.

[BT04] M. Brightwell and P. Turner, *Relative differential characters*, arXiv:math/0408333 (2004).

[CS85] J. Cheeger and J. Simons, *Differential characters and geometric invariants*, Geometry and topology (College Park), Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1167, Springer, Berlin, 1985, pp. 50–80.

[FH00] D. S. Freed and M. J. Hopkins, *On Ramond-Ramond fields and K-theory*, J. High Energy Phys. (2000), no. 5, Paper 44, 14.

[FL10] D. Freed and J. Lott, *An index theorem in differential K-theory*, Geometry & topology **14** (2010), 903–966.

[Fre00] D. S. Freed, *Dirac charge quantization and generalized differential cohomology*, Surveys in differential geometry (2000), 129–194.

[Gaj97] Paweł Gajer, *Geometry of Deligne cohomology*, Invent. Math. **127** (1997), no. 1, 155–207.

[Gom04] K. Gomi, *Differential characters and the Steenrod squares*, arXiv:math/0411043 (2004).

[HL06] R. Harvey and B. Lawson, *From sparks to grundles — differential characters*, Communications in analysis and geometry **14** (2006), 25–58.

[HS05] M.J. Hopkins and I.M. Singer, *Quadratic functions in geometry, topology and M-theory*, J. Differential Geom. **70** (2005), 329–452.

[Lot94] John Lott, $\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}$ *index theory*, Comm. Anal. Geom. **2** (1994), no. 2, 279–311.

[SS08] J. Simons and D. Sullivan, *Axiomatic characterization of ordinary differential cohomology*, Journal of Topology **1** (2008), 45–56.

[tD08] Tammo tom Dieck, *Algebraic topology*, EMS Textbooks in Mathematics, European Mathematical Society, Zürich, 2008.

[Upm] M. Upmeier, *Differential cohomology, PhD-thesis in preperation, Georg-August University, Göttingen.*